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Introduction
The tobacco industry has intensified its interference in public health policy. Governments are obligated under the World Health Organization (WHO) Framework Convention on Tobacco Control (FCTC) to protect their health policies using Article 5.3 and its implementing guidelines, which provide actions governments can take to protect public health policies from commercial and other vested interests of the tobacco industry (TI) and those who further its interests.¹

The TI and its allies have used a slew of tactics to overwhelm and undermine protective measures governments have already put in place, while preventing and discouraging other efforts from being adopted. Many governments were influenced by the TI mainly because they failed to act cohesively when dealing with the industry and its tactics.

Tactics that have worked well for the industry in the past, such as making corporate social responsibility (CSR) contributions to social needs to access senior officials, blocking restrictions and obtaining lower taxes for new tobacco products that it framed as less harmful and smoke-free, and persuading non-health departments to do its bidding, were repeated in the absence of preventive measures.

The TI recovered rapidly post-COVID-19 pandemic, having elicited benefits from governments, and has stepped up its interference by signing more voluntary agreements with government offices, engaging diplomatic missions and conducting environmental programs, which tend to distract governments’ attention from targeting tobacco’s toxic plastics when negotiating the UN Plastics Pollution Treaty.

This Index, the fourth in its series, documents government efforts to implement WHO FCTC Article 5.3. The first Index in 2019 reviewed 33 countries;² the second, 57 countries;³ the third, 80 countries; and this fourth Index reviews 90 countries from Africa, the Eastern Mediterranean region, the Americas, Europe, South and Southeast Asia and the Western Pacific region.

It ranks the countries using the same questionnaire and scoring method as the ASEAN Index developed by the Southeast Asia Tobacco Control Alliance (SEATCA).⁴

The Index is based on publicly available information on TI interference in countries and their respective governments’ responses to this interference. For the 80 countries that updated their previous reports, interference and responses were measured for the period of April 2021 to March 2023. For the 10 new countries, interference and responses were measured from January 2019 to March 2023. The countries are ranked (Figure 1) according to total scores provided by civil society groups, who prepared their respective country indices. The lower the score, the lower the overall level of interference, which augurs well for the country. The Summary Table (p.46) provides disaggregated scores for each country.

This Index shows a worsening trend in interference, and no country has been spared. Forty-three (43) countries deteriorated in their scores compared to 29 countries that improved in protecting their health policies, especially in applying greater transparency, not collaborating with the industry and adopting policies that provide a procedure for interaction with the industry. Scores of eight countries remained unchanged.
Figure 1: Tobacco industry interference overall country ranking
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Key findings
Four governments made progress in protecting their policies from tobacco industry interference. Botswana included recommendations from Article 5.3 Guidelines in its Tobacco Control Act in 2021. Bosnia, Burkina Faso and Cote d’Ivoire have developed draft decrees to protect health policies from industry interference, which are awaiting approval.

Departments of finance, commerce and investment continued to be targeted by the industry to champion its interests. Non-health departments, especially finance, commerce and customs, were persuaded by the industry’s exaggerated claims of its contributions to the economy and believed the industry’s narrative that illicit tobacco trade will worsen if taxes are increased.

More countries deteriorated and were subjected to industry interference. Twenty-nine countries showed improvements and 43 countries registered a deterioration, while eight had unchanged Index scores.

Many governments still accepted CSR handouts from the tobacco industry. Global issues such as the continued impact of COVID-19, natural disasters and the growing need for environmental protection resulted in governments continued acceptance of industry charity and subjected themselves to compromising on policies.

Governments collaborated on industry-sponsored environmental campaigns. Government and public institution endorsements of industry-led cigarette butt litter cleanups were recorded in at least 15 countries including Brazil, Colombia, Costa Rica, Korea, Malaysia, Sweden, Switzerland and Uruguay.

Embassies of five countries endorsed or promoted the tobacco industry. Diplomatic missions of five countries, China, Germany, Italy, Japan and the U.K., were persuaded to promote the tobacco industry in other countries.

Transparency and accountability remained a problem. Most of the countries do not have rules for disclosure of meetings with the tobacco industry, a register of lobbyists, including from the tobacco industry, or policies to require the TI to disclose information on marketing and lobbying.

Five countries reported the tobacco industry sabotaged efforts to pass comprehensive WHO FCTC-compliant legislation. Omnibus tobacco control legislation has been delayed in Bolivia, Guatemala, Jamaica, Tanzania and Zambia over the past few years.

Five countries that remained non-Parties to the WHO FCTC faced high levels of interference. Argentina, the Dominican Republic, Indonesia, Switzerland and the U.S.A. had no progress in becoming Parties and faced high levels of lobbying or interference that undermined tobacco control. These governments, which have tobacco control measures not complaint with the FCTC, allowed the industry to meddle in policy development, continued to provide incentives to the tobacco industry or endorsed industry activities.

There was little publicly available information on countries’ programs to consistently raise awareness of Article 5.3. Few countries covered in this Index found publicly available reports on programs to raise awareness within government departments on tobacco industry tactics and policies related to Article 5.3.
The tobacco industry interfered in policy development and implementation.
Article 5.3 Guidelines calls on governments to protect public policies from commercial and other vested interests of the tobacco industry, which means governments must refuse the industry a seat at the policy table. Even in countries where TI presence/membership is not registered in national committees that develop policy without its offers of assistance, the industry still found ways to intervene in policy development (see Figure 2).

INDUSTRY INTERFERENCE DELAYED TOBACCO CONTROL LAWS

Omnibus tobacco control legislation has been delayed in Bolivia, Guatemala, Jamaica, Tanzania and Zambia over the past few years. In Guatemala, the tobacco industry participated in drafting the law, which has been stalled in Congress since 2019. In Jamaica the joint select committee reviewing the tobacco control bill took a “collaborative” approach by inviting key stakeholders, including Carreras (owned by British American Tobacco [BAT]) to make submissions on the bill. The industry’s submission was obstructionist and contributed to the bill being stalled.

In Zambia, while the tabling of the tobacco control bill of 2018 was delayed again, another law favorable to the industry, Tobacco Act No. 10/2022, was passed. The act that was prioritized over the 2018 tobacco control bill, consolidates the functions of the Tobacco Board of Zambia and promotes and monitors production, marketing and packing of tobacco in the country.

In Jamaica, while the joint select committee reviewing the tobacco control bill took a “collaborative” approach by inviting key stakeholders, including Carreras (owned by British American Tobacco [BAT]) to make submissions on the bill. The industry’s submission was obstructionist and contributed to the bill being stalled.

In Zambia, while the tabling of the tobacco control bill of 2018 was delayed again, another law favorable to the industry, Tobacco Act No. 10/2022, was passed. The act that was prioritized over the 2018 tobacco control bill, consolidates the functions of the Tobacco Board of Zambia and promotes and monitors production, marketing and packing of tobacco in the country.

The Parliament in Bosnia and Herzegovina approved a weaker tobacco control law in May 2022, after it took into consideration the TI’s arguments: Heated tobacco products (HTPs) are exempt from the indoor smoking ban, designated smoking areas are permitted in some public places and tobacco companies can still advertise at points of sale. In Bolivia, Law 1280 on Prevention and Control of the Use of Tobacco was approved in February 2020, and although more than three years have passed, the law remains unimplemented. The legal vacuum has resulted in aggressive promotions of tobacco and e-cigarettes via social media and increased consumption of these products, risking the health of adolescents and young people.

“The tobacco industry profits from a product that kills, impoverishes people and countries, and destroys the environment. This industry thrives by attracting new users and keeping them addicted, even if it means killing almost half of them. Despite these cruel realities, industry efforts to undermine tobacco control never cease.

The Global Tobacco Index chronicles the industry’s appalling tactics. Only comprehensive implementation of the WHO FCTC, particularly Article 5.3 and its Guidelines for implementation, will stop the problem.”

Dr. Adriana Blanco Marquizo, Head of the Secretariat of the WHO FCTC
The lower the score, the better the ranking.
THE INDUSTRY CHALLENGED AND FAILED TO COMPLY WITH STANDARDIZED (PLAIN) PACKAGING AND HEALTH WARNINGS

Implementation of standardized tobacco packaging, a cost-effective measure to dissuade smoking, has been undermined by the TI in Georgia, Myanmar and Uruguay.

In 2022 with the approval of Decree 282/022,12 the government of Uruguay modified the existing plain packaging policy, which does not prohibit tobacco companies from using inserts to market their products, thus undermining the purpose of plain packaging. The President of the Republic acknowledged this decree was issued at the request of the main tobacco company, Montepaz.13

The Business Ombudsman’s Office (BOO) of Georgia, after consultations with TI representatives, recommended the postponement of plain packaging regulations to the Parliament.14,15 The BOO announced that the Parliament had considered its recommendation and postponed implementation to December 31, 2024, which is six months beyond the original extension date of July 31, 2024.

In Myanmar, standardized tobacco packaging, approved in October 2021 for implementation in April 2022, was initially postponed for seven-and-a-half months to January 2023, and then for another 12 months to December 2023. Transnational tobacco companies and local cigarette and cheroot producers successfully lobbied for the implementation deadline to be pushed back16 for almost 20 months.

Bangladesh and Lao PDR allowed the TI to delay implementing the legally required pictorial health warnings (PHW) on cigarette packs. In Bangladesh, the implementation of PHW to be applied to the upper half of tobacco packs has been delayed since the High Court postponed the government order of July 4, 2017, following a further petition by the Bangladesh Cigarette Manufacturers’ Association; after six years, PHW remain only on the lower half of tobacco packs.17 In Lao PDR, the tobacco industry is not fully compliant in applying the 75%-size warnings on all its cigarette packs.18

Implementation of PHW on packs was delayed in Chad (third phase) and Nigeria (five months),19 while in Sudan, an increase in warnings from 30% to 75% has been delayed since March 2021 because the standardization organization has not finished its drafting.

On the positive side, Oman issued Ministerial Decision 67/2023 for standardized packaging of tobacco products published in the Official Gazette on March 5, 2023, to come into force after six months from the date of publication.20

THE INDUSTRY21 HELPED REVOKE AND UNDERMINE BANS ON ELECTRONIC TOBACCO AND NICOTINE DEVICES, AND NICOTINE POUCHES

Health departments across the globe experienced TI interference in their efforts to regulate by banning or restricting electronic nicotine delivery systems (ENDS or e-cigarettes) and HTPs. The interference included attempts to revoke existing bans, weaken regulation, oppose and delay tax increases on these products and lobby policymakers to pass laws favorable to the industry.

While Argentina, Brazil, Mexico, Nicaragua and Panama joined many other countries in banning e-cigarettes and HTPs,22 in Uruguay, Egypt and Kenya, the tobacco industry lobbied successfully to have their bans revoked.

In 2021, the Uruguay government issued a new decree, which removed a ban on HTPs that had been in place since 2009, thereby benefiting the tobacco industry.23 It was revealed that the Ministry of Public Health had persuaded the Legislature by using information from Philip Morris International (PMI), instead of sending the response prepared by the ministry’s technical services.24 A 2022 exposé revealed how PMI used this Uruguayan decree to promote its HTPs in other countries in the region such as Brazil, Panama and Mexico, following its approval.25

Kenya banned nicotine pouches in 2020,26 however BAT lobbied the government for their reintroduction.27 The Health Cabinet Secretary reportedly acted in favor of the industry to reverse the ban, with BAT announcing that it had agreed with the Ministry of Health (MoH) to reintroduce the nicotine pouches into the market.28 In Mexico, a congressman supportive of PMI29 tried to overturn the ban on e-cigarettes and HTPs but failed. However, a legal challenge launched by PMI resulted in the Collegiate Administrative Court in Mexico City ruling on May 31, 2023, that the decree by the President was unconstitutional.30
The Philippines approved an industry-friendly e-cigarette law in 2022 that lowered the purchase age from 21 to 18 years, allowed online marketing, loosened the existing flavor restrictions and transferred regulatory authority from the Food and Drug Authority to the Department of Trade and Industry.

In Thailand, a non-health department was lobbied to revoke the ban on e-cigarettes. In January 2022, the Minister of Digital Economy and Society, after being lobbied by an NGO linked to the PMI-funded Foundation for a Smoke-Free World, set up a working group to study legalizing e-cigarettes. In February 2023, a Sub-Committee in the House Committee on Public Health issued a report recommending “harm reduction” in tobacco control and the legalization of e-cigarettes in Thailand. The Thai government rejected the recommendation.

In Italy, although the Health Minister proposed new restrictions on e-cigarettes and HTPs, several government officials and the leader of the main political party, who received funding from e-cigarette companies, opposed the proposed restrictions, which consequently were not approved. In the Netherlands, the ban on non-tobacco flavors in e-cigarettes was postponed for six months after the ENDS industry raised concerns.

Finance Departments Were Lobbyied on Taxation of E-Cigarettes

In Ukraine, a lawmaker publicly stated that he initiated the reduction of the excise duty for HTPs at the request of PMI. In February 2021, he registered an amendment to draft law No. 4278, which provided for a reduction of tax rates for HTP sticks by more than 30% from April 1, 2021. The amendment was not accepted by the tax committee.

In August 2022, BAT in Bangladesh (BATB) wrote to the National Board of Revenue (NBR) to oppose the proposed amendment to the tobacco control law, which includes a ban on e-cigarettes, claiming that the proposed amendment was “impractical and unimplementable” and lobbied NBR to intervene on its behalf in the ongoing amendment by the Ministry of Health and Family Welfare. On December 22, 2022, NBR wrote to the Health Services Division, urging them to consider the points raised by BATB.

Georgia, Ethiopia, Ghana, and Kuwait experienced industry interference in taxation of e-cigarettes. In Georgia, the Business Association of Georgia (BAG) is one of the main lobbyists of PM Georgia, a subsidiary of PMI. BAG’s Vice President (former Deputy Chairman of the Parliament of Georgia) and its Legal Director were involved in direct lobbying to promote PMI’s IQOS, in Georgia. On behalf of BAG, the Legal Director filed a legislative proposal in Parliament to reduce tax on HTPs and e-cigarettes and legalize the promotion of these new products. He made official statements against the strengthening of tobacco control legislation.

Although Ethiopia privatized its state-owned tobacco enterprise, the government has an agreement with the National Tobacco Enterprise that they should be consulted during modification or preparation of tobacco taxation, regulations, or legislation. In March 2023, the Ministry of Finance took a position similar to the industry that increasing tax rates on tobacco products would lead to higher illicit trade and proposed tax rates similar to levels levied in 2020. The Ministry of Finance included e-cigarettes and shisha (water pipes) as excisable goods and set their tax rate in the draft excise tax bill, although Proclamation 1112/2019 had prohibited these products.

In Ghana, the Minister of Finance, in his 2023 Budget Statement, announced a proposal to tax e-cigarettes which are banned, effectively legalizing them, a move attributed to the government’s interaction with the TI on policy development matters. In July 2023, Ghana announced a ban on e-cigarettes.

In Kuwait, since September 2022 the General Administration of Customs has twice delayed without clear reasons the implementation of 100% customs duties on e-cigarettes.

The Italian Ministry of Economic Development has been linked to tobacco industry lobbying for tax and regulatory advantages for e-cigarettes. The Deputy Minister of Economic Development, along with other senior officials, attended the inauguration event of Philip Morris Institute for Manufacturing Competencies in the District of Bologna. An exposé reported that ministers and undersecretaries attended BAT’s launch of a new plant for the production of nicotine products in Trieste.
NATIONAL STANDARDS BOARDS WERE PERSUADED TO LEGITIMIZE ELECTRONIC TOBACCO AND NICOTINE DEVICES

In seven countries, Cameroon, Cost Rica, Indonesia, Iraq, Nigeria, Sudan and Vietnam, national standards boards were influenced by the industry to develop standards which laid the groundwork to legalize new tobacco and nicotine products. In Cameroon, the standards for BAT’s Velo and Vuse were developed by the Agency for Standards and Quality (ANOR) which involved the active participation of the TI, and which provided financial support for the work. ANOR’s TC 47 committee on tobacco issues is chaired by a TI representative and the draft standards were proposed by the industry.57

The Institute of Technical Standards of Costa Rica (INTECO), a private association recognized by law as a National Standardization Entity, according to the National Quality System Law (8279), developed the quality standard “INTE Q191:2021: Tobacco products for heating and liquids for electronic cigarettes with nicotine. Minimum labeling requirements.” During the development of the standard, companies that import ENDS and HTPs, including PMI, as well as consumer associations and the industry chamber, participated.58

In Iraq, the Central Organization for Standardization and Quality Control (COSQC) allowed representatives from the Cigarette Importers Association to attend meetings to set standards related to imported or manufactured tobacco products, despite objections from the Ministry of Health.60

POLICYMAKERS WERE PERSUADED TO TAKE REGRESSIVE STEPS

In Ukraine, in November 2021, in a move to counter the adoption of comprehensive anti-tobacco draft law No. 4358, a member of parliament from the Committee on Humanitarian and Information Policy proposed to “align” draft law No. 4212 (on advertising) with draft law No. 4358,61 which would have allowed tobacco product advertising.62 The passing of the comprehensive law meant draft law No. 4212 was not adopted in parliament.

In March 2023, Malaysian policymakers de-listed nicotine as a poison from the National Poison Act, leaving the industry unregulated and free to promote and sell e-cigarettes, even to minors.63 Japan Tobacco International (JTI) had earlier called for amendments to the National Poison Act claiming it had “gone through the process of applying [sic] license to sell vaping products, but it was unsuccessful.”64

During the debate on the Swiss bill to ban tobacco advertising, the Swiss Federal Council (government) and the Swiss Federal Assembly (parliament) favored TI interests over international standards. They took the position that the youth advertising ban goes too far and that partial restrictions are sufficient to protect youth from tobacco advertising.65 Switzerland later passed legislation in May 2023 to ban advertising of tobacco and e-cigarettes directed at young people, which will come into force only in 2026.66

“Governments should keep abreast with the tactics employed by tobacco and related industries to derail tobacco control. Evidence-based tobacco control policies save lives, so countries must remain vigilant to promptly and decisively respond to tobacco industry efforts aimed at harming tobacco control efforts. The Civil Society is a key resource partner that can support countries’ efforts in countering industry tactics.”

Vinayak Mohan Prasad, Unit Head, No Tobacco Unit, (TFI) World Health Organization
Tobacco-related CSR activities enhanced access to senior government officials
WHO FCTC Article 13 Guidelines calls for a ban on corporate social responsibility (CSR) activities because they are a form of promotion and Article 5.3 Guidelines recommends such activities be de-normalized. The tobacco industry uses CSR as a strategy to whitewash and restore its public image. When governments accept charity from the tobacco industry, they compromise their role as regulators of the industry.

Among the participating countries, 35 have banned tobacco-related CSR activities, while five don’t endorse or accept any contributions from the industry. Of these 40 countries, 25 set aside their laws and accepted or endorsed contributions from the industry. The continued impact of COVID-19, economic crises, global concerns such as environmental degradation, and natural and manmade disasters maintained the governments’ susceptibility to accepting CSR from the industry (Table 1). Some governments were inadvertently led to endorse these activities through industry-linked civil society groups.

Table 1: How governments get trapped by industry charity

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>ISSUE</th>
<th>ENDORSEMENT</th>
<th>GOVERNMENT RESPONSIBILITY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>COVID-19 related relief fund</td>
<td>Tobacco industry contributed to the official relief fund</td>
<td>When the government accepts charity from the industry, they compromise their role as regulators of the industry.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industry-sponsored cigarette butt clean up campaigns</td>
<td>Governments participated in industry-funded clean-up programs</td>
<td>Instead of regulating the industry and making it pay for damaging the environment, the government is distracted by endorsing the industry’s charity.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industry-sponsored tree planting programs</td>
<td>Government institutions collaborated with the industry on tree planting programs</td>
<td>Tobacco cultivation damages the environment and causes deforestation for which the industry has not been held liable.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Industry-sponsored programs to eliminate child labor</td>
<td>Governments endorsed industry-sponsored programs</td>
<td>Industry benefits from both child labor and conducting programs which have been shown to be ineffective. No action has been taken to hold the industry accountable.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
GOVERNMENTS CONTINUED TO ACCEPT COVID-19-RELATED CONTRIBUTIONS FROM THE INDUSTRY

Countries still recovering from the ravages of the COVID-19 pandemic remained vulnerable to accepting donations from the tobacco industry, which took advantage of the vulnerability by ramping up monetary contributions to government funds, supporting hospitals and handing out personal protective equipment to enforcement agencies and hospitals. Acceptance of this form of charity can compromise the government’s integrity in performing its role as a regulator of the industry.

In Madagascar, the Ministry of Health requested the Akbaraly Foundation (SIPROMAD Group, a cigarette manufacturer) to reopen its Kintana Center in Antananarivo to treat COVID-19 patients.69 In Paraguay, the Ministry of Public Health facilitated Tabacalera del Este SA (TABESA)’s donation of beds to the Hernandarias District Hospital.70,71

The Clinical Center of Montenegro (KKCG), a government center, signed a contract with Philip Morris Montenegro and received a US $50,000 donation in medical equipment during the COVID-19 pandemic.72 In Vietnam, the state-owned enterprise, Vietnam National Tobacco Corporation, donated VND 100 billion (about US $4.1 million) to the COVID-19 vaccine fund, and Saigon Tobacco Company donated VND 500 million (US $20,600) to the prevention and relief efforts.

The Cambodian Minister of Justice thanked JTI for providing alcohol dispensers and banners and for supporting government efforts to curb the spread of COVID-19.73

In Indonesia, the Ministry of Health received medical equipment from KT&G and expressed their gratitude for the donation.74 Provincial officials also accepted donations from tobacco companies such as the Pasuruan Regency that received rapid antigen test kits from PT HM Sampoerna.75 Several hospitals in Central Java and Yogyakarta Special Region received units of oxygen concentrators from Djarum Foundation donated through the Ministry of Industry.76

In Jamaica, the COVID-19 relief fund, of which the Jamaica Constabulary Force and Jamaica Defense Force are partners,77 accepted $9.5 million (about US $61,500) donations from Carreras (BAT).78,79

GOVERNMENTS ENDORSED INDUSTRY-SPONSORED CIGARETTE BUTT CLEANUP AND ANTI-LITTER CAMPAIGNS

With environmental harms, particularly plastic pollution from cigarette butts, gaining more public attention, governments endorsed the tobacco industry’s environmental “CSR” activities such as cigarette butt litter cleanups, tree plantings and smoke-free campaigns. Government and public institution endorsement of industry-led cigarette butt litter cleanups were reported in at least 15 countries including Brazil, Colombia, Costa Rica, Korea, Malaysia, Sweden, Switzerland and Uruguay (see Appendix 1).

In Brazil, in 2022, the city of Santa Cruz do Sul partnered with JTI and a recycling company, Poitato Recicla, to collect and recycle cigarette butts,80 and the city of Florianópolis (Santa Catarina) installed canisters to collect butts in 10 beaches and neighborhoods of the city.81

In Colombia, the Special Administrative Unit of Public Services of Bogotá, launched a campaign in 2022 in partnership with PMI on the collection of cigarette butts.82 In Costa Rica, PMI funded a project to place canisters in public places, and butts collected were supposedly for research on disposal at the state University of Costa Rica. The timing of this sponsored activity coincided with a bill (No. 9028)83 being debated in the Legislative Assembly that aimed to declare cigarette butts as special waste and place the responsibility for their disposal on the manufacturer or importer.

In Korea, the local government of Suwon City endorsed KT&G to set up 33 cigarette butt bins in 23 public facilities84 and promote the company’s “Sseudam Sseudam” (cigarette butts in the trash) campaign.

In Malaysia, city councils allowed PMI’s local affiliate to install 200 cigarette butt canisters across the country targeting tourist locations near public beaches as well as some urban areas.85
In **Sweden**, members of the parliament attended the greenwashing campaign, “Håll Sverige Rent” (Keep Sweden Clean), of an NGO funded by PMI and other tobacco companies, which involved children in the initiative to clean up cigarette butts and tobacco litter.  

In **Switzerland**, the Federal Office for the Environment (FOEN) formed a partnership with the main tobacco companies and launched a nationwide awareness campaign addressing cigarette littering. Their public message included: “Dispose of cigarette butts correctly because nature is not an ashtray,” a slogan lifted from Swiss Cigarette’s 2021 CSR campaign, “Lara Green,” in effect normalizing smoking and shifting the responsibility to consumers.  

In **Uruguay**, a senator proposed an industry-friendly bill in Parliament that favors cigarette butt recycling activities using public funds. This proposal was tabled in 2022.  

Around the time tobacco control and environmental groups were calling on the UN Plastics Pollution Treaty negotiations, held in Uruguay, to ban cigarette filters, identifying them as a clear example of single-use, unnecessary and toxic plastic. Uruguay’s plain packaging laws require biodegradable filters, but this cannot be promoted on the pack or stick.  

The European Union’s Single-Use Plastics Directive has a special provision on tobacco products, in particular the adoption of the Extended Producer Responsibility (EPR) policy which seeks to make tobacco companies pay for managing tobacco product waste and raise awareness about littering and its impact on the environment. However, this can result in governments inadvertently partnering with the tobacco industry while it promotes itself as a socially responsible industry.

**GOVERNMENTS WERE LURED INTO PARTNERING WITH THE INDUSTRY ON TREE PLANTING PROGRAMS**

In the name of promoting biodiversity, at least 10 governments entered into industry-sponsored partnerships or endorsed tree planting programs in collaboration with the TI.  

In **Madagascar**, in 2021 the Ministry of the Environment entered into a three-year partnership with Imperial Tobacco Group for a reforestation program, Madagasikarantsika Project, in Mandoto in the Vakinankaratra region.  

In **Jordan**, the Minister of Agriculture participated in a tree planting event sponsored by JTI on World Tree Day, while in **Zambia**, the Western Province Minister, also a member of Parliament, endorsed JTI’s tree planting program.  

In **Bosnia and Herzegovina**, local municipalities in Sarajevo (Sarajevo Center, Novo Sarajevo, and KJKP Park) participated in PMI-sponsored tree planting for PMI’s “Unsmoke” campaign. In **Kudus**, **Indonesia**, the Housing, Settlement and Environmental Office endorsed a Nojorono-sponsored seed planting activity at the Logung Dam, in conjunction with World Environment Day.

The Forestry Departments of **Jamaica**, **Pakistan** (Khyber Pakhtunkhwa, KPK), **Sri Lanka** and the **Indian** state of Maharashtra endorsed tree planting programs sponsored by Carreras (BAT), Pakistan Tobacco Company (BAT), Ceylon Tobacco Company (BAT) and ITC Limited respectively. **Bangladesh’s** Khulna City Corporation mayor inaugurated the tree plantation ‘Bonayon’ project sponsored by BAT. In **Bosnia and Herzegovina**, local municipalities in Sarajevo (Sarajevo Center, Novo Sarajevo, and KJKP Park) participated in PMI-sponsored tree planting for PMI’s “Unsmoke” campaign. In **Kudus**, **Indonesia**, the Housing, Settlement and Environmental Office endorsed a Nojorono-sponsored seed planting activity at the Logung Dam, in conjunction with World Environment Day.
PROMOTION OF TOBACCO IN SITUATIONS OF WAR AND NATURAL DISASTER

Following the February 2023 earthquake in Türkiye, JTI Turkey and PMI publicized their donations to relief efforts. Relief agencies, approved by the government, received PMI's contribution through partnerships to support humanitarian aid and recovery assistance. PMI’s contribution to Türkiye should be seen in the light of the country being an important market for PMI as sales of its products show an increasing trend.

In Ukraine, Philip Morris Ukraine (PMU) claimed to have donated US $10 million for medical equipment and transport (in Invano-Frankivsk and Dnipropetrovsk oblasts) in war relief efforts. In January 2023, the charitable foundation “Balbek Buro” received funds from PMU for construction of temporary modular housing for displaced persons. PMI has plans to expand its tobacco business in Ukraine and in June 2023, announced it will launch a US $30 million production facility in Lviv, western Ukraine.

CHILD LABOR PERSISTED UNDER CSR SMOKESCREEN

An estimated 1.3 million children are involved in tobacco cultivation, and industry-sponsored programs to eliminate child labor have been shown to be ineffective. The US Department of Labor 2022 list of goods produced by child labor or forced labor identified 17 countries that use child labor in tobacco growing. At least five governments still endorse industry-sponsored programs on addressing child labor. It is ironic for the tobacco industry to conduct CSR activities to eliminate child labor in tobacco when it actually benefits from it.

In Argentina, the tobacco industry has links with different levels of government (municipal, provincial and national) on child labor issues through the Fundación Vamos Andar-Programa Brazos Abiertos. At the national level, this organization coordinated BAT Argentina’s sponsored activities on child labor with the National Registry of Rural Workers and the National Secretariat for Children, Adolescents and Family of the Nation.

In Brazil, the Ministry of Labour supports the “Growing Up Right” Institute, a project by Sinditabaco and its associated companies (including BAT Brasil, Philip Morris Brazil, JTI, Alliance One), which claims to promote education and fight child labor in rural areas. The Institute partners with schools, the city of Novo Cabrais and states to execute its activities.

In Zambia, the Eastern Province Permanent Secretary endorsed and commended JTI’s “WeProsper” child labor awareness campaign in Chipangali District. Tanzania’s Prime Minister laid the foundation stone to a JTI-funded secondary school in Tabora Region in a ceremony attended by the President’s Office TAMISEMI, the Ambassador of Japan to Tanzania, a Member of Parliament and the Tabora Regional Commissioner.

Although Uganda’s Tobacco Control Act bans tobacco-related CSR activities, a TI-funded international NGO, the Eliminating Child Labour in Tobacco Growing Foundation (ECLT), continued to fund activities in Uganda. The ECLT survey was used to help “the government intensify efforts towards child labour awareness and household incomes improvement through State programmes such as the National Agriculture Advisory Services (NAADS).”

In three countries, to spruce up its image, the TI targeted and persuaded Departments of Justice to accept or participate in its CSR activities. In Korea, the Ministry of Justice accepted KT&G’s US $140,000 donation to support its resettlement of persons under legal protection. Although this CSR donation from KT&G to the Ministry of Justice started in 1998 when KT&G was a government monopoly, it continued despite the ratification of the WHO FCTC and adoption of Article 5.3 Guidelines. The Ministry of Justice staff of El Salvador acknowledged Philip Morris for its support in activities involving young people and welcomed even greater cooperation.
The tobacco industry received incentives that benefited its business
Article 5.3 Guidelines states the TI should not be given incentives, privileges or benefits to establish or run its business, nor be provided any preferential tax exemption. Governments continued to give benefits to the tobacco industry by delaying effective tobacco control measures, applying less-than-optimal tax increases and delaying their implementation, promoting tobacco production and providing subsidies.

Substantial tax increases that result in less-affordable tobacco products is the most effective tobacco control measure to reduce consumption—a measure that the industry fights the hardest against.

**TAX BREAKS WERE GIVEN TO THE INDUSTRY**

Governments across the globe failed to grant tax increases, while others granted delays in implementing tax increases. Others allowed exemptions and waivers, differential tax increases across tobacco products and tax rebates.

There was no tax increase in Colombia, Mongolia, Malaysia, for the eighth consecutive year, and Türkiye, after the president intervened. There was a freeze on tax in Switzerland, and a roll-back on tax increases in Ecuador. The government of Iraq provided various levels of support to the national tobacco industry, including tax exemptions and financial facilities. Private tobacco importers also benefited from the relatively low taxes on tobacco imports.121

**El Salvador’s Law on Tax on Tobacco Products** continues to give a tax exemption for the manufacture of tobacco that is meant for export.122

**Sri Lanka** faced a four-year delay in implementing a 20% tax increase, which benefitted the industry. Instead of adhering to the standard indexation procedure, a 20% tax hike was put into effect in January of 2023.123 **Guatemala** allowed the industry a longer timeframe in which to declare its taxes because of deficient control over commercial transactions,124 while the industry in **Indonesia** obtained a 90-day extension in paying taxes.125

Several countries faced low levels of tax increases. In **Uruguay**, the 2021 increase was only 6.8% for both cigarettes and tobacco, which was below inflation, making tobacco products more affordable compared to 2020.126127

In **Argentina**, in 2022, a court ruled that Tabacalera Sarandi and Tabacalera Espert were exempt from the law that determines general tax rates,128 and the Federal Chamber of Salta also ruled in favor of the local tobacco factory, Tabes, granting an injunction to exempt it from complying with the tax reform.129

**Madagascar** provided a 2% tax rebate for cigarettes manufactured with 70% or more locally produced tobacco.130 In India, there is a continuing exemption on cess on bidis and smaller tobacco manufacturers. Similarly, while the GST rate for all types of tobacco products is 28%,131 tobacco leaves are levied only 5% GST under reverse charge.

HTPs in Italy were taxed a quarter that of cigarettes, and these products are not covered in the ad ban. In the **Philippines**, excise tax on a 20-stick cigarette pack is P60 (US $1.06),132 whereas HTPs, which come in packs of 20 tobacco sticks or less, is taxed only P32.65 (US $0.58).133134

Between 2021 and 2022, the **U.S.A.** FDA granted certain privileges and exemptions to the tobacco industry. These included allowing unapproved e-cigarettes to remain on the U.S. market,135 approving marketing for discontinued smokeless tobacco products,136 revisiting premarket tobacco applications due to challenges from companies against FDA denial orders and relaxing standards for a subset of cigarette marketing applications submitted in 2019.137138
TOP OFFICIALS SUPPORTED AND PROMOTED TOBACCO TRADE

Tanzania’s Prime Minister advocated to increase tobacco farming and secure more markets, with the Minister of Agriculture committing to doubling tobacco production. In Madagascar, the President’s 10 priority axis projects included Imperial Brand’s Madagasikarantsika Project, which has made the tobacco company an “important partner” of the State. Similarly in Senegal, the tobacco industry has benefited from an investment code to attract foreign investors, which includes an exemption from specific taxes on tobacco imports.

Cigars have been declared a “cultural heritage” product in Honduras which enables the industry to enjoy incentives and support from senior officials from the Ministry of Economic Development, the Vice Ministers of Tourism, Foreign Affairs and representatives of the National Congress, who visited tobacco factories to promote agrotourism.

In November 2022, Iran’s Vice President of Commerce and Economy promoted Iran Tobacco Company (ITC), a state-owned enterprise, through an agreement with Zimbabwe. The increase in tobacco investment was discussed during a visit led by Zimbabwe’s First Lady to Tehran. In January 2023, ITC’s CEO and Zimbabwe’s Agriculture Minister signed an agreement for Zimbabwe to supply tobacco for cigarette manufacturing in Iran or for re-exports to Central Asia.

In February 2022, the then-President of Kenya promoted tobacco at a trade expo in Dubai as one of the commodities the UAE could import from Kenya at competitive prices. In November 2022, the new President, during his visit to Korea promoted tobacco and tobacco products among products that Korea could import from Kenya. In Georgia, the government’s Performance Progress Report reported that the Lebanese tobacco company (Régie Libanaise des Tabacs et Tombacs) had decided to pursue business in Adjara where 72 different tobacco products will be produced and exported to European countries.

GOVERNMENTS SUBSIDIZED TOBACCO CULTIVATION

Tobacco is a capital- and labor-intensive crop which requires investments to make it economically viable. Contrary to the WHO FCTC, governments give subsidies for its cultivation in several ways (see Table 2).

In Switzerland, tobacco growing is only possible through a subsidy system established by the Swiss government in 1969. One-hundred-thirty-four Swiss farmers who grow tobacco on about 400 hectares receive about CHF 40,000 (US $44,990) per hectare of planted tobacco from the Swiss Tobacco Finance Fund (Finanzierungsfonds Inlandtabak).

In Montenegro, 24 tobacco producers received subsidies that amounted to €16,000 (€1,000 per hectare).

DUTY-FREE TOBACCO PRODUCTS WERE STILL ALLOWED BY MOST COUNTRIES

International travelers continue to be able to purchase duty-free tobacco in most countries (Table 3). Of the 90 participating countries, only Palau applied the lowest duty-free limit to 20 cigarettes or one cigar, which amounts to a ban on duty-free tobacco. Similarly, New Zealand allows 50 cigarettes or 50 grams of cigars or tobacco products.

India allows 100 sticks or 25 cigars, while the bulk of all the participating countries allow 200 sticks of cigarettes or 50 cigars.

International travelers within EU countries are allowed a generous 800 cigarettes or 200 cigars for personal use. Travelers to Türkiye can bring duty-free 600 cigarettes or 10 single-use electronic cigarettes or one vaping device and 30 ml of vape liquid or 200 single-use sticks.
Table 2: Tobacco subsidies in select countries

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>The Special Tobacco Fund (FET), a tax on tobacco products which works as a subsidy created through the National Tobacco Law No. 19.800, grants tax benefits to the tobacco production supply chain.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>Tobacco farmers receive support from local governments, funded through a 2% tobacco excise tax, sharing revenue to finance a social health insurance program (50%) and tobacco growing activity (50%).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lebanon</td>
<td>Subsidies are given through price support system with a set quote and price.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Montenegro</td>
<td>24 tobacco growers were given € 16,000 total in subsidies.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>15% of tobacco excise tax revenues are allocated to Virginia tobacco-growing provinces and 15% of incremental excise tax revenues to burley and native tobacco-growing provinces.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>A subsidy of CHF 40,000 (US $44,989.95) was given per hectare of planted tobacco.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td>The President offered TZS 11.2 billion (about US $5,000,000) as a subsidy to support the tobacco sector.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uruguay</td>
<td>Tobacco growers benefit from tax exemptions from employer contributions for growers and family producers.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3: Duty-free status of tobacco products

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>CIGARETTES STICKS</th>
<th>CIGARS</th>
<th>WEIGHT</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Palau</td>
<td>20 sticks</td>
<td>1</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>New Zealand</td>
<td>50 sticks</td>
<td>50 gm</td>
<td>50 gm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>100 sticks</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>125 gm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EU countries</td>
<td>800 sticks</td>
<td>200</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Türkiye</td>
<td>600 sticks</td>
<td>50</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other countries</td>
<td>200 sticks</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>250 gm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Inappropriate interactions occurred between governments and the industry
According to Article 5.3 Guidelines, governments should interact with the TI only when strictly necessary and only to the extent to enable them to effectively regulate the industry and tobacco products. Unnecessary interactions occurred when high-level officials met with the industry to foster relations, made agreements to collaborate, involved their diplomatic missions, spoke at industry-sponsored conferences and gave trivial awards to the industry (see Figure 3).

**NON-HEALTH SECTORS WERE EASY TARGETS FOR INDUSTRY COLLABORATION ON TACKLING SMUGGLING**

Despite its complicity in smuggling, the tobacco industry manages to convince governments to collaborate on tackling illicit trade in tobacco. This remained an easy route to engage the economic sector such as the customs departments, revenue departments and ministries of finance and commerce.

Industry-sponsored trainings were held in several countries. In **El Salvador**, the Customs Department received training on anti-smuggling investigation techniques from ADES, an Association of Distributors of El Salvador which Philips Morris El Salvador is a part of. In **Burkina Faso**, the National Coordinating Body to Fight Against Fraud (CNLF), in collaboration with MABUCIG (a subsidiary of Imperial), organized training for enforcement officers dealing with trafficking and illicit trade in tobacco products. **Georgian** State Customs received training through a series of anti-illicit trade seminars conducted by JTI.

**Paraguay** is a part of the “Tri-Border Area of Argentina-Brazil-Paraguay” study and training program on illicit trade sponsored by PMI. PMI’s grantee, the International Relations Institute of the University of São Paulo, developed a course and provided training for police officers from the tri-border countries.

In **Bosnia and Herzegovina**, the Administration of Indirect Taxation is implementing the “Stop the Smuggling” campaign, launched in 2020 in collaboration with the Association of Economists SWOT, which is supported by PMI, BAT and JTI. In **Peru**, a national committee convened by the Ministry of Production, and the Working Group Against the Illicit Trade in Cigarettes, includes both government officials and the TI.

In **Bulgaria**, TI-sponsored data is routinely presented and discussed with officials from the Ministry of Finance, Ministry of Economy and the Customs Department.

Governments and public institutions from 15 countries entered into partnerships, agreements or memorandums of understanding (MOU) with the TI to tackle illicit trade, environmental protection and other issues (see Appendix 2).

In March 2022, the General Police Headquarters of **Poland** signed an agreement with BAT and JTI to combat illegal production and trade in tobacco products. In December 2022, the regional customs and tax office in Northern **Poland** (Pomorski Urząd Celno-Skarbowy) organized a training on counteracting smuggling of tobacco products (identifying illegal products from new tobacco/nicotine categories such as e-cigarette liquid or heated tobacco) by BAT Poland and the eSmoking Institute in Poznań associated with the company.

In **Colombia**, the National Federation of Departments (FND) entered into an agreement with PMI which includes training and assisting the authorities in anti-smuggling operations. The agreement includes monetary contributions to the FND to promote anti-smuggling policies that involve the Tax and Customs Authority and the National Police. It is reported that “PMI also benefits from the FND’s lobbying in Congress against the tobacco tax increase.”

In **Chile**, government collaboration with the industry occurs through the Illicit Trade Observatory of the National Chamber of Commerce, where 12 public and private institutions participate, including BAT Chile. In **Romania**, the General Directorate of Customs, in a partnership with the Romanian Police, Border Police and the National Fiscal Administration Agency, accepted BAT’s scanning equipment. The Border Police promoted BAT’s support on their website.
**Figure 3:** Governments engage in unnecessary interaction with the tobacco industry

*The lower the score, the better the ranking*
DIPLOMATIC MISSIONS WERE INVOLVED IN PROMOTING TOBACCO

In 2014, Parties to the WHO FCTC adopted a decision that Article 5.3 Guidelines be implemented to all parts of government including diplomatic missions. While countries like the U.K. and U.S.A, have policies to prevent their missions from promoting tobacco products/companies in foreign countries, most diplomatic missions remain vulnerable to influence from the industry. In recent years, at least six government missions have been found to be promoting or endorsing the TI (see Appendix 3).

The Japanese embassy has been actively influenced by JTI in at least four countries. In Ethiopia, the Japanese Embassy entered into an MOU with JTI to provide scholarships to Ethiopian students in Japan. The Japanese Ambassador to Bangladesh was a special guest to inaugurate a photo exhibition sponsored by JTI Bangladesh; the Ambassador to Tanzania attended a ceremony to lay the foundation stone of a JTI-funded school; and the Ambassador to Cambodia attended the inauguration of JTI’s new headquarters in Phnom Penh.

In December 2022, the British Deputy High Commissioner to Nigeria attended the Nigeria-Britain Association’s Presidential Cocktail in Lagos and spoke at the event which was organized in partnership with several companies including BAT. In Lebanon, a representative of the British High Commission attended the inauguration of a new production line of the Lebanese state-owned tobacco monopoly, Regie, which was also attended by representatives of international tobacco companies.

In Lebanon, the German ambassador to Beirut visited the Regie, the Italian Ambassador to Tanzania launched a cigar lounge in Dar es Salaam, while the Honduran Embassy in Berlin was patron to a meeting of the Federal Association of the Cigar Industry. In Brazil, requests from SindiTabaco were delivered to the Chinese embassy through a state secretary.

GOVERNMENT VIPS HANDED OUT FRIVOLOUS AWARDS

Giving recognition to tobacco companies is an unnecessary interaction and results in helping boost the image of the industry. High ranking public officers in at least 12 countries gave special recognition to tobacco companies for paying taxes, doing charity or just carrying out their business (see Appendix 4).

Awards from the Prime Minister were handed to KT&G in Korea for sustainable management; to Lao-China Hongta in Lao PDR for being an outstanding leading business; and to JTI by the Türkiye Exporters Assembly in the “Industry Winner” category presented by the President. Finance Ministers in Bangladesh and Nepal handed highest taxpayer awards to BAT and Surya Nepal respectively.

A few new awards have emerged such as in Lebanon, where an army commander presented an honorary shield to Libanaise Des Tabacs Et Tombacs (Regie). In Japan, the Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry recognized JTI as an “outstanding organization for health-conscious management.”
GOVERNMENTS ENDORSED THE INDUSTRY

Tanzania’s Prime Minister, during his 2022 trip to Japan, met with JTI where the company committed to buy 30 million kg of tobacco leaf from Tanzania.196

El Salvador’s Vice President signed a letter of intent with PMI to support the training initiatives to strengthen the powers of public officials in matters of central and municipal public administration.197 PMI provided tablets and computers for the training.

Solomon Islands’ Minister of Finance visited a BAT factory198 to express support for the tobacco business. In Uruguay, the Minister of Agriculture and a team of directors from the ministry visited tobacco farmers.199

In November 2022, the Philippine President and the First Lady hosted a lunch meeting with PMI executives at the presidential Palace.200 In March 2023, Candon City in Ilocos Sur, a tobacco growing region, hosted its tobacco festival, which included the Miss Tobacco pageant. The event, endorsed by the City Mayor, was done in collaboration with the National Tobacco Administration, a government agency, and the Philippine Tobacco Institute, which represents tobacco companies.201

In Spain, the tobacco industry is actively pushing its “smoke-free future” strategy targeting regional and municipal governments, particularly the Extremadura autonomous region, where tobacco is cultivated, and the Canary Islands which provide significant tax breaks to attract businesses. Tobacco product prices are lower in the Canary Islands than the rest of Spain. The President of Extremadura attended the inauguration of a PMI IQOS call center with the city mayor of Olivenza and the head of Philip Morris Spain.202 The participation of the tobacco industry is mandated by law in the operations of the Governmental Consultation Committee of the Tobacco Market Commission.203 The lack of transparency in the government’s dealings with the tobacco and nicotine industries benefits the industry.

In France, Salon Produrable, a trade show on sustainable development was held in September 2022 with the support of the Ministry for Ecological Transition and Ministry for Territorial Cohesion and Relations, where PMI participated and even organized a session.204 After civil society intervened,205 the TI will no longer be present at the 2023 edition.

The Canadian government’s US $130 million partnership with Medicago, a vaccine developer that was jointly owned by Philip Morris International (1/3 equity) and Mitsubishi Tanabe Pharma (2/3 equity) supported the development of a COVID-19 vaccine and a new vaccine manufacturing plant in Quebec. Following global protests from tobacco control groups207,208,209 in March 2022, the WHO rejected Medicago’s application for the global distribution of its vaccine on the basis that its PMI partnership was contrary to Article 5.3.210 This led to halting of production of the vaccine, MTP absorbing the tobacco company’s shares in December 2022, and dissolving Medicago’s operations in February 2023.211

“Globally, fewer people are using tobacco. But those hard-won gains could still be reversed. Interference in policymaking by the tobacco industry—whether through corruption, lobbying, junk science or the threat of litigation—remains the greatest obstacle to accelerating that progress. This Tobacco Industry Interference Index has become an essential tool for the UNDP to help countries protect the integrity of their health policies.”

Dudley Tarlton, Programme Specialist, Health and Development, United Nations Development Programme
Transparency and accountability were still lacking
A few countries reported having measures in place to require transparency from the TI (see Figure 4). Ecuador, for example, requires the TI to report its philanthropic, lobbying and political contributions. France requires public disclosure of expenses related to influence or interest representation activities of manufacturers, importers and distributors of tobacco products and their representatives, which are reported to the Ministry of Solidarity and Health. Their elected MPs are required to follow guidelines that entail complete transparency in interactions between the tobacco industry and decision-makers, particularly on financial issues.

Botswana’s new Tobacco Control Act requires the disclosure or registration of TI entities, affiliated organizations and individuals acting on their behalf, including lobbyists. These provisions are designed to increase transparency and accountability in the interactions between the tobacco industry and government officials. Similarly, the government in Lebanon, issued the Public Procurement Law 244/2021 to ensure sound financial governance, transparency and accountability. However, the state-owned tobacco enterprise, Regie, opposed the law, claiming it was a hurdle and asked to amend some of its components for flexibility so as “not to lose its advantages and profitability.”

Canada, Chile, Mexico, the Netherlands, Poland, and the U.S.A have a register for lobbyists. The Canadian Federal Lobbyist Registry reveals that the TI has engaged with at least 18 departments, agencies and institutions including Health Canada, the Canada Revenue Agency, the House of Commons, the Senate of Canada and the Prime Minister’s Office.

The U.S.A Lobbying Disclosure Act mandates that all lobbyists, including those representing the TI, must register when operating at the national level. Similarly, all 50 states have some form of lobbyist registration to promote transparency in political activities. In 2023, tobacco companies, trade associations and retail stores recorded a staggering 927 lobbying registrations at the state level. This involved the employment of 856 lobbyists or lobbying firms.
The lower the score, the better the ranking.
BAT Kenya’s Managing Director was appointed by the President to the private-sector led National Steering Committee on Drought Response through an Executive Order in 2022, in contradiction to the Tobacco Control Act. The Committee raised KES 542 million (US $3,708,518), but BAT’s contribution to the Drought Response Fund was never made public.

In Georgia, the Tobacco Control Law mandated the government to adopt before May 1, 2018, a decree “on Protecting the State Policy Related to Tobacco Control in Public Institutions.” However, after five years, this decree on transparency is languishing. Similarly in Guatemala, in 2018, Law 5461 to regulate unnecessary interactions between the industry and the government was presented to the Congress and was stalled by the Health Commission, which held six meetings.

Most countries do not have rules of disclosure when meeting with the tobacco industry, nor disclosure of information, nor a register of TI lobbyists.

In Cameroon, in 2021, after a closed-door meeting with representatives of the TI, the MOH granted the industry a six-month delay to implement the second phase of PHW on tobacco packs, after which another three months were added. Although an earlier BAT-sponsored public education campaign, which involved erecting billboards on illicit trade, was canceled, in 2022 during a closed-door meeting at the MOH, representatives of the tobacco industry offered support to conduct raids on illicit trade.

In Colombia, attempts to regulate industry lobbying have not been successful because, although the Anti-Corruption Statute may request information on lobbyists, it does not have specific reference nor registration for tobacco industry entities.

The industry exerted its hidden influence on governments in its preparation for the ninth session of the Conference of the Parties to the WHO FCTC (COP9) in November 2021. In Brazil for example, in August 2021, the industry used the Tobacco Sectorial Chamber to lobby the Ministry of Foreign Affairs, requesting documents from COP9 to guide the intervention of Brazil during the negotiations. Through two unregistered meetings, it lobbied the government, resulting in the Minister of Agriculture assuring the industry they would support tobacco producers during COP9.
Public officials remained vulnerable to conflicts of interest
Article 5.3 Guidelines outlines how government officials can avoid conflicts of interest situations by prohibiting all contributions from the tobacco industry and enacting rules to protect public health policies from TI interference. However, legislators in many countries have made themselves vulnerable to industry interference by accepting TI donations for political campaigns or being involved in the tobacco business, including taking positions in the tobacco industry via the revolving door between the TI and the government (see Figure 5).

Political contributions in Chile, the Netherlands, New Zealand, Panama, Solomon Islands, South Africa, the U.K. and U.S.A. are allowed but must be disclosed. However, in the Netherlands, New Zealand and Spain the reporting is only for sums above a certain amount. In Spain, the sum is set at above US $50,000 and the law does not regulate contributions to foundations of political parties.

Botswana, Cote d’Ivoire, France, Lao PDR, Nepal, Nigeria, Türkiye and Ukraine do not allow political contributions from the TI. The Ukrainian law prohibits all forms of financial or other support by the TI for events, activities, individuals or groups, including political parties or politicians, sportsmen, artists and all educational institutions, regardless of whether the contribution is publicized or not.

In Uruguay, although Decree 284/008 prohibits all forms of sponsorship by tobacco companies including political donations, Montepaz, which controls 85% of the Uruguayan tobacco market, contributed to the financing of the President’s election campaign.

A revolving door of retiring senior government officials joining tobacco companies or industry executives taking up senior government positions remains a problem across the globe (see Appendix 5), creating opportunities for undue industry influence on tobacco control policies.

In Colombia, the former Director of Regulation at the Ministry of Commerce joined PMI as its Head of External Affairs for Colombia and Perú. In India, a retired IAS (Indian Administrative Service) officer, who held many senior positions with the Ministries of Home Affairs, Communications and Information Technology, joined the board of Godfrey Philips as its independent director. In Poland, a former MOF Head of Unit in the VAT Department, responsible for VAT and excise duty, and First Fiscal Counsellor in its Permanent Representation to the EU, joined Philip Morris Distribution Polska as its Fiscal Affairs & Illicit Trade Prevention Manager. In Brazil, a former director of the health regulatory agency, Anvisa, is now a consultant to BAT Brasil and a former Minister of the Federal Audit Court and a former Senator and Governor of Paraíba were hired as lobbyists for PMI.

Moving from industry to government, a former director of Imperial Brands in Georgia and Moldova is now Georgia’s Deputy Minister of the Ministry of Economy and Sustainable Development. In Kenya, an Independent Non-executive Chair of BAT since September 2020 has chaired Kenya’s Roads Board and is also a member of the Board of Kenya Vision 2030, a project of the President. In Panama, a former consultant for PMI and BAT is currently a technical deputy director general of the National Customs Authority and continues to attend TI events as an expert speaker.

Similarly, having current industry executives work for the government or having incumbent officials consult for the industry, compromises public office. In Bangladesh, a BAT Director (since June 21, 2020) is the senior secretary in the Prime Minister’s Office. In Gabon, Chairman of the board of CECA-GADIS (representing TI interests) is currently a political advisor to the Head of State and High Commissioner of the Republic. In Switzerland, a member of the National Council, which is the larger house of the Swiss legislature, is also a salaried president of the Swiss Tobacco Trade Association (Swiss Tobacco).
Figure 5: Conflict of interest problem persists
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In Norway, some former MPs have joined the TI as staff or lobbyists and because they have a “golden key,” which gives them unimpeded access to the national assembly, they can still influence policy.

Implementing an exit policy for officials in tobacco control can address conflict of interest situations. Government officials in Kenya have to wait three years after leaving public service to work for the TI while officials in Uganda must wait two years. In Uganda, they are bound by the confidentiality clause to any matter involving tobacco control policy or program development or implementation. In France, for a period of three years, anyone who has held the position of minister, president of a local executive or member of independent administrative or public authorities, intending to join the private sector at the end of their public functions must submit a request to the High Authority who will examine whether the activity they plan to pursue is compatible with their former function.

As reported in the previous Indices, governments continue to invest directly and indirectly in tobacco business. At least 10 countries still have tobacco state-owned enterprises (see Appendix 6). Often these come under the purview of the departments of finance, whose fiduciary duty is to ensure the profitability of the tobacco business, potentially conflicting with health objectives to implement policies that reduce tobacco use.

The governments of Bangladesh, Jamaica, Korea and Zambia still invest their pension funds or national insurance funds in tobacco business. The Bangladeshi government, through various ventures, holds a total of 9.4% shares in BAT Bangladesh; the Korean government owns 7.7% shares in KT&G and Zambia’s National Pension Scheme Authority and Public Service Pension Fund have 3.01% and 3.77% shares respectively in BAT Zambia. Globally, dozens of leading financial organizations from more than 20 countries are implementing tobacco-free finance policies.

“The tobacco industry continues to undermine tobacco control progress and to hook young people on its products. However, governments are prioritizing the health of their populations and nearly 30 countries have strengthened their ability to protect themselves from tobacco industry interference. I look forward to other governments taking similar action by following key steps in the Global Tobacco Industry Interference Index.”

Dr. Kelly Henning, Bloomberg Philanthropies
Governments can protect themselves from industry interference
Article 5.3 Guidelines provides a range of measures governments can take to protect their tobacco control policies from being derailed by commercial and vested interests. During economic recovery following the peak of the pandemic emergency, several governments made progress in passing legislation, adopting codes or implementing existing laws to protect their policies (see Figure 6).

Brunei, the Philippines, the Netherlands and the U.K. are implementing their sector-wide codes of conduct, guidance or circulars on Article 5.3 Guidelines. In June 2023, the U.K. government updated its guidance on Article 5.3, which covers all public officials at national and municipal levels and parliamentarians.

The government of the Netherlands has a protocol for civil servants interacting with the TI and its representatives. Additionally, all civil servants are required to follow the Code of Conduct on Integrity (Gedragscode Integriteit Rijk) which encompasses guidelines on interacting with tobacco industry lobbyists, making specific reference to Article 5.3.

Uganda and Botswana have Article 5.3 Guidelines included in their tobacco control laws. Botswana, which published its Tobacco Control Act in 2021, is in the process of finalizing the regulations to facilitate the implementation of the new law. The process was met with resistance from the TI. The law requires full transparency on any interactions with the TI, which should take place only when deemed necessary for effective regulation; prohibits any partnership, agreement or contributions from the TI to any public body; and disallows incentives to be given to the industry.

Bosnia and Herzegovina’s new tobacco control law has incorporated Article 5.3 components and limits interaction with the industry to only when strictly necessary, requires transparency and prohibits officials from receiving contributions or gifts from the TI.

Ethiopia’s proclamation 1112/2019 states a government official who is involved in setting public health policy shall not engage in any tobacco business including consultancy. The Proclamation requires a procedure to be put in place to disclose all interactions. The Ethiopian Food and Drug Authority (EFDA) has developed and implemented a code and has a record of interactions with the tobacco industry.

In Chad, Article 6 of Decree 1523 on the prevention of interference from the tobacco industry lays down rules to be observed in all meetings between the State and the TI. Meetings are to be made public by providing the agenda and the contact details of the persons who attended. The decree also prohibits any public official, government or other state entity involved in tobacco control from accepting or soliciting any financial contribution, donation, favor or gratuity from the TI.

In Burkina Faso and Cote d’Ivoire, a draft decree to protect the bureaucracy from industry interference is being developed.

Thailand’s Tobacco Products Control Act 2017 requires manufacturers or importers of tobacco products to report the volume of production or importation into the Kingdom, market share, marketing expenses and income and expenses according to the rules stipulated in the Ministerial Regulations. The implementing regulations are being drawn up. Penalties apply for false or incomplete information provided by the TI.

In China and Cote d’Ivoire, anti-corruption legislation has been applied to corrupt activities involving the tobacco industry. In China an investigation on a former member of the Party Leading Group of the State Tobacco Monopoly Commission found that he and his relatives engaged in corrupt practices involving the tobacco business, including accepting bribery. In Cote d’Ivoire, the anti-corruption ordinance served as a basis for tobacco control officials to prevent a trip for members of Parliament to Switzerland that was proposed by the tobacco industry.

Very few countries reported a consistent awareness-raising program on the importance and requirements of Article 5.3 as a legal obligation under the WHO FCTC that applies to the whole government. The absence of this exercise has resulted in the many ways the industry has targeted and influenced non-health departments.
Figure 6: Governments that acted to protect themselves with preventive measures
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NON-PARTIES LAG BEHIND PARTIES TO THE WHO FCTC

The five non-Parties to the WHO FCTC, Dominican Republic, Switzerland, Indonesia, the U.S.A., and Argentina, have high levels of industry interference undermining and derailing their tobacco control policies. The Dominican Republic, Switzerland and Indonesia, which are already ranked as some of the countries facing the highest levels of interference, also deteriorated in their scores.

In the Dominican Republic the executive branch of government generated a national and international promotion plan to support and protect the tobacco industry (2020-2024) during which the tobacco industry was actively consulted and represented by the Tobacco Institute (INTABACO). In July 2022, the legislators promulgated Law No. 341-22 that declares tobacco and Dominican cigars as cultural heritage products of the Republic. Meanwhile there has been no progress in updating tobacco control laws, which are more than 20 years old.

Switzerland’s progress in tobacco control has been slow, and even the recent law that bans tobacco advertising is not comprehensive. Tobacco control has been largely hampered by the tobacco lobby that permeates Swiss politics. Individuals working for the tobacco industry can be elected to the Federal Assembly without resigning from their job because there are no rules against the participation of the tobacco industry in policymaking.

In Indonesia, meetings between government officials and the TI in policymaking processes are considered normal. In 2023, the Coordinating Economic Affairs Minister expressed his support for PT HM Sampoerna (PMI’s subsidiary) for its investment by inaugurating its new HTP factory. HTPs in Indonesia are not required to carry PHW and enjoy lower excise tax. The Coordinating Ministry for the Economy has prepared a tobacco products roadmap, which is based on recommendations from a PMI-funded group and is expected to be issued as a presidential regulation.

Argentina improved marginally, with no evidence that government officials unnecessarily interacted with tobacco companies, but the industry used front groups to participate in discussions on the regulation of e-cigarettes and HTPs, and the prohibition of advertising and sponsorship.
Figure 7: Comparison of 80 countries between 2021 and 2023

SCORE HAS IMPROVED

Ukraine -20
Botswana -17
Burkina Faso -15
Ethiopia -12
Lebanon -12
Panama -12
Solomon Island -10
Guatemala -5
Canada -5
Ecuador -5
Mexico -5
Oman -4
Italy -4
Argentina -3
China -3
Netherlands -3
New Zealand -3
Sri Lanka -3
Nicaragua -3
Kazakhstan -3
Cote d’Ivoire -2
Lao PDR -2
Myanmar -2
Nepal -2
Brunei Darussalam -1
Norway -1
Palau -1
Zambia -1
France -1
Egypt -1
Japan 0
South Africa 0
Bangladesh 0
Mongolia 0
Spain 0
Türkiye 0
Vietnam 0
Venezuela 0

SCORE HAS DETERIORATED

+1 Paraguay
+1 India
+1 Maldives
+1 Senegal
+1 Romania
+1 Indonesia
+2 Ghana
+2 Spain
+2 Colombia
+2 Philippines
+2 Germany
+3 Iraq
+3 Mozambique
+3 Georgia
+3 Bolivia
+3 Switzerland
+4 Chile
+4 Uganda
+4 Jordan
+4 United States of America
+4 Dominican Republic
+5 Gabon
+5 Korea, Rep.
+5 Pakistan
+5 Sudan
+6 Tanzania
+6 Peru
+7 Fiji
+7 Nigeria
+7 Czech Republic
+8 El Salvador
+8 Brazil
+8 Israel
+9 Cambodia
+9 Poland
+9 Kenya
+9 Thailand
+10 Malaysia
+10 Costa Rica
+11 Honduras
+11 Iran, Islamic Rep.
+16 United Kingdom
+17 Uruguay

0 indicates no change
MOST-IMPROVED AND MOST-DETERIORATED COUNTRIES

Of the 80 countries covered in the 2021 report, 29 countries showed improvements, 43 countries registered a deterioration, while eight remained unchanged in the Index (see Figure 7). How much a country improves or deteriorates is not determined or confined by region or income level. Ukraine, Botswana, and Burkina Faso showed the most improvement while Uruguay, the U.K., Honduras, and Iran deteriorated the most.

Despite being in a war, the Ukrainian government made efforts to protect their tobacco control measures from industry interference. Ukraine adopted comprehensive tobacco control legislation (Law of Ukraine No. 1978-IX)\textsuperscript{288}, which introduces prominent PHW on packs with annual rotation, a ban on flavorings in cigarettes and e-liquids, and a ban on all types of advertising and promotion for e-cigarettes and HTPs. The government managed to counter attempts from the industry to delay the process and developed the implementing bylaws and fixed implementation dates for the law.

Botswana is now developing the implementing regulations for its new Tobacco Control Act.\textsuperscript{289} Government officials did not accept any assistance from the industry in policy development, nor endorse any sponsorship or contributions from the industry. There were no unnecessary interactions with the industry and no revolving door movements of former officials to the industry. The law requires registration of tobacco industry entities, affiliated organizations and individuals acting on their behalf, including lobbyists. These provisions are designed to increase transparency and accountability.

Burkina Faso has developed a draft decree to protect the bureaucracy from industry interference, which will be submitted in 2023 to the Council of Ministers for adoption. No tax privileges were given to the tobacco industry, and the government is shunning collaboration with the industry.

Uruguay, on the other hand, had the worst deterioration of its Index score among all the participating countries with the reversal of its 2009 ban on HTPs, which the President of the Republic admitted was made at the request of the main tobacco company.\textsuperscript{290} In 2022, the government approved Decree 282/022,\textsuperscript{291} which modified plain packaging requirements on tobacco products to allow tobacco companies to print information on cigarette sticks and to include inserts in tobacco packs, which the companies can use to market their products, contradicting the purpose of plain packaging. Although tobacco-related CSR activities are prohibited, the government endorsed an industry-led cigarette butt litter cleanup,\textsuperscript{292} and a senator tabled an industry-friendly bill in Parliament that favors cigarette butt recycling activities using public funds.\textsuperscript{293}

The U.K. has deteriorated in its score on several issues such as, interaction between Members of Parliament and the tobacco industry, including lobbying a parliamentarian who was a government minister at the time,\textsuperscript{294} unnecessary interactions with the tobacco industry or associated organizations which involved senior ministers, both in the U.K. government\textsuperscript{295} and the Scottish government,\textsuperscript{296} and the U.K.’s new track and trace system, which was outsourced to a company which had tobacco industry links.\textsuperscript{297,298,299}

In Honduras, the President of the National Congress and Congressmen met with representatives of the tobacco industry at the Third Cigar and Coffee Festival.\textsuperscript{300} The Minister of Tourism gave an award to Flor de Copán Honduras for being a company that “positioned Honduras as a first-class tobacco producing country worldwide.”\textsuperscript{301} High-level government officials from the Ministry of Economic Development, the Vice Ministers of Tourism, Foreign Affairs and representatives of the National Congress have participated in joint visits with diplomatic delegations to tobacco factories to facilitate support from international donors to promote agrotourism.\textsuperscript{302}

Costa Rica showed a deterioration in addressing industry interference, including delaying the development of the implementation regulation for ENDS and HTPs, which should have been prepared within three months of the promulgation of the law on January 20, 2022, and allowing the industry to contribute to developing their standards.\textsuperscript{303} The delay has benefited the industry, as it was not possible to regulate where the devices could be used or to monitor compliance. Although there is a ban on CSR activities, local governments endorsed a PMI-sponsored cigarette butt litter cleanup campaign.\textsuperscript{304}

LIMITATIONS

This report is limited to publicly available information, and therefore does not adequately capture all evidence of interferences that have occurred. Information that is publicly available may not be the most updated. Lack of government and industry transparency makes it hard to collect information on industry interference. The rankings of countries should be viewed with this limitation.
Conclusion
All transnational tobacco companies registered profits for 2021 and 2022. To ensure its business was not interrupted, the TI continued using its CSR activities and economic investment arguments to persuade governments to believe its exaggerated claims and divert attention away from the harms inflicted on society, and the 8.7 million preventable tobacco deaths that occur each year globally.

Governments were persuaded to delay, halt or compromise on tobacco control policies. In some countries, the TI obtained approval for sales of its ENDS and HTP products, including reversing bans in some countries. Tax increases were delayed or lowered by industry interference.

Non-health departments remain particularly vulnerable to industry interference, often promoting or representing TI interests in policy development. Diplomatic missions in some countries were persuaded to promote tobacco business, despite governments making a commitment to apply Article 5.3 throughout the whole government.

As the WHO FCTC is implemented and tobacco use declines, the industry finds new ways and new products to rebrand and repackage its business. Environmental cleanups and recycling of tobacco products is an important policy forum the industry is trying to exert its influence on.

Some countries have taken concrete action to protect their policies during difficult circumstances, and most countries need to step up. Governments have the necessary tools in their hands to act and push back the industry. They must expedite their efforts to stop the interference and address the tobacco pandemic’s devastating impact on public health and prevent millions of avoidable deaths.

“Despite all its smoke and mirrors, the tobacco industry cannot ‘transform’ itself out of the fundamental and irreconcilable conflict between its interests and the public health interest. Its bottom-line remains dependent on shaping our preferences and politics in support of its addiction-, disease- and death-inducing profit. Our response has to be reliance on Article 5.3 and evidence such as the Index to penetrate the transformation illusion and bolster public health policies.”

Dr. Douglas William Bettcher, Senior Advisor to the Director-General, World Health Organization
Recommendations
Governments can and must halt TI interference. The quicker they act to fulfil their WHO FCTC obligations, the better they can protect and advance their tobacco control policies. Article 5.3 Guidelines calls on governments to limit interactions with the industry to only when strictly necessary and to be transparent. Specific actions include the following:

1. **Involve the whole of government to curtail tobacco industry interference.** The whole government must act cohesively to stop tobacco industry interference and implement Article 5.3 as shown in the exemplary action taken by Botswana, Chad, the Philippines, the U.K. and Uganda.

2. **Stop participation in tobacco industry-sponsored charity.** Governments must not endorse or participate in industry-sponsored activities, and must instead limit their interactions with the TI to only when strictly necessary for regulation and control.

3. **Prohibit contributions from the tobacco industry, including to political campaigns.** When governments accept contributions from the tobacco industry, they make themselves vulnerable as illustrated by countries that compromised on tobacco control or reversed legislative measures.

4. **Denormalize and ban tobacco’s “CSR” activities.** Make the tobacco industry pay for the harms its products cause on human health and the environment. The tobacco industry should not be included in or treated like any other industry in extended producer responsibility mechanisms and corporate sustainability regulations.

5. **Require greater transparency for increased accountability.** Transparency when dealing with the TI will reduce instances of interference and will help hold government officials and the industry accountable. All interactions with the TI must be recorded and made publicly available. Require the tobacco industry to disclose information such as marketing and lobbying activities.

6. **Divest from investments in the tobacco industry.** State-owned enterprises should be treated like any other part of the TI. Governments divesting from tobacco businesses increase their independence from the industry, so they can act freely to protect public health.

7. **Implement a code of conduct or guidance to provide a firewall.** Governments must adopt a code of conduct with clear guidance to limit interactions with the TI, avoid conflicts of interest and strengthen transparency and accountability of any interactions.

8. **Stop giving incentives to the tobacco industry.** The TI should not be granted incentives or any preferential treatment to run its businesses, which conflict directly with tobacco control policy.

9. **Reject non-binding agreements with the tobacco industry.** Governments are often disadvantaged when they agree to cooperate with the TI. There should be no collaboration between governments and the TI.
## Summary Table

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>ARG</th>
<th>BGD</th>
<th>BOL</th>
<th>BIH</th>
<th>BWA</th>
<th>BRA</th>
<th>BRN</th>
<th>BGR</th>
<th>BFA</th>
<th>KHМ</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>PARTICIPATION IN POLICY DEVELOPMENT</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The government accepts, supports or endorses offer for assistance by or in collaboration with the tobacco industry in implementing tobacco control policies (Rec 3.1)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The government accepts, supports or endorses legislation drafted by/in collaboration with the tobacco industry (Rec 3.4)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The government allows the tobacco industry to sit in multi-sectoral committee/advisory group that sets public health policy (Rec 4.8)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The government allows representatives from the tobacco industry (including State-owned) in the delegation to the COP or subsidiary bodies or accepts their sponsorship for delegates. (Rec 4.9 &amp; 8.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOBACCO-RELATED CSR ACTIVITIES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The government receives contributions from the tobacco industry (including so-called CSR contributions) (Rec 6.4) Government agencies/officials endorses, form partnerships with/participates in tobacco industry CSR activities (Rec 6.2)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>BENEFITS TO THE TOBACCO INDUSTRY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The government accommodates requests from the industry for longer implementation time or postponement of tobacco control laws (Rec 7.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The government gives privileges, incentives, exemptions or benefits to the tobacco industry (Rec 7.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>FORMS OF UNNECESSARY INTERACTION</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Top-level government officials meet with/foster relations with the tobacco companies such as attending social functions and events sponsored or organized by the tobacco companies (Rec 2.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The government accepts assistance/offers of assistance from the tobacco industry on enforcement (Rec 3.1 &amp; 4.3)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The government accepts, supports, endorses, or enters into partnerships or agreements with the tobacco industry (Rec 3.1)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
PARTICIPATION IN POLICY DEVELOPMENT

The government accepts, supports or endorses offer for assistance by or in collaboration with the tobacco industry in implementing tobacco control policies (Rec 3.1)

The government accepts, supports or endorses legislation drafted by/in collaboration with the tobacco industry (Rec 3.4)

The government allows the tobacco industry to sit in multi-sectoral committee/advisory group that sets public health policy (Rec 4.8)

The government allows representatives from the tobacco industry (including State-owned) in the delegation to the COP or subsidiary bodies or accepts their sponsorship for delegates. (Rec 4.9 & 8.3)

TOBACCO-RELATED CSR ACTIVITIES

The government receives contributions from the tobacco industry (including so-called CSR contributions) (Rec 6.4) Government agencies/officials endorses, form partnerships with/participates in tobacco industry CSR activities (Rec 6.2)

BENEFITS TO THE TOBACCO INDUSTRY

The government accommodates requests from the industry for longer implementation time or postponement of tobacco control laws (Rec 7.1)

The government gives privileges, incentives, exemptions or benefits to the tobacco industry (Rec 7.3)

FORMS OF UNNECESSARY INTERACTION

Top-level government officials meet with/foster relations with the tobacco companies such as attending social functions and events sponsored or organized by the tobacco companies (Rec 2.1)

The government accepts assistance/offers of assistance from the tobacco industry on enforcement (Rec 3.1 & 4.3)

The government accepts, supports, endorses, or enters into partnerships or agreements with the tobacco industry (Rec 3.1)
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The government does not publicly disclose meetings/interactions with the tobacco industry where such interactions are strictly necessary for regulation (Rec 2.2)

The government requires rules for the disclosure or registration of tobacco industry entities, affiliate organizations, and individuals acting on their behalf including lobbyists

The government does not have a policy (whether or not written) to prohibit contributions from the tobacco industry or any entity working to further its interests to political parties, candidates, or campaigns or to require full disclosure of such contributions (Rec 4.11)

Retired senior officials work for the tobacco industry (Rec 4.4)

Current government officials and their relatives hold positions in the tobacco business including consultancy positions (Rec 4.5, 4.8 & 4.10)

The government has a procedure for disclosing records of interaction with the tobacco industry and its representatives (Rec 5.1)

The government has formulated, adopted or implemented a code of conduct for public officials, prescribing the standards they should comply when dealing with the tobacco industry (Rec 4.2)

The government requires the tobacco industry to periodically submit information on tobacco production, manufacture, market share, marketing expenditures, revenues and any other activity, including lobbying, philanthropy, and political contributions (Rec 5.2)

The government has a program/system/plan to consistently raise awareness within its departments on policies relating to FCTC Article 5.3 Guidelines (Rec 1.1, 1.2)

The government has a policy prohibiting the acceptance of all forms of contributions from the tobacco industry (monetary or otherwise) including offers of assistance, policy drafts, or study visit invitations to the government, officials and their relatives (Rec 3.4)

**Summary table**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TRANSPARENCY</th>
<th>ARG</th>
<th>BGD</th>
<th>BOL</th>
<th>BHW</th>
<th>BRA</th>
<th>BRN</th>
<th>BGR</th>
<th>BFA</th>
<th>KHM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>8</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The government does not publicly disclose meetings/interactions with the tobacco industry where such interactions are strictly necessary for regulation (Rec 2.2)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The government requires rules for the disclosure or registration of tobacco industry entities, affiliate organizations, and individuals acting on their behalf including lobbyists</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CONFLICT OF INTEREST</th>
<th>ARG</th>
<th>BGD</th>
<th>BOL</th>
<th>BHW</th>
<th>BRA</th>
<th>BRN</th>
<th>BGR</th>
<th>BFA</th>
<th>KHM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>7</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The government does not have a policy (whether or not written) to prohibit contributions from the tobacco industry or any entity working to further its interests to political parties, candidates, or campaigns or to require full disclosure of such contributions (Rec 4.11)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired senior officials work for the tobacco industry (Rec 4.4)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current government officials and their relatives hold positions in the tobacco business including consultancy positions (Rec 4.5, 4.8 &amp; 4.10)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>PREVENTIVE MEASURES</th>
<th>ARG</th>
<th>BGD</th>
<th>BOL</th>
<th>BHW</th>
<th>BRA</th>
<th>BRN</th>
<th>BGR</th>
<th>BFA</th>
<th>KHM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The government has a procedure for disclosing records of interaction with the tobacco industry and its representatives (Rec 5.1)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The government has formulated, adopted or implemented a code of conduct for public officials, prescribing the standards they should comply when dealing with the tobacco industry (Rec 4.2)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The government requires the tobacco industry to periodically submit information on tobacco production, manufacture, market share, marketing expenditures, revenues and any other activity, including lobbying, philanthropy, and political contributions (Rec 5.2)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The government has a program/system/plan to consistently raise awareness within its departments on policies relating to FCTC Article 5.3 Guidelines (Rec 1.1, 1.2)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The government has a policy prohibiting the acceptance of all forms of contributions from the tobacco industry (monetary or otherwise) including offers of assistance, policy drafts, or study visit invitations to the government, officials and their relatives (Rec 3.4)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>TOTAL</th>
<th>ARG</th>
<th>BGD</th>
<th>BOL</th>
<th>BHW</th>
<th>BRA</th>
<th>BRN</th>
<th>BGR</th>
<th>BFA</th>
<th>KHM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>74</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The government does not publicly disclose meetings/interactions with the tobacco industry where such interactions are strictly necessary for regulation (Rec 2.2).

The government requires rules for the disclosure or registration of tobacco industry entities, affiliate organizations, and individuals acting on their behalf including lobbyists (Rec 4.1).

The government does not have a policy (whether or not written) to prohibit contributions from the tobacco industry or any entity working to further its interests to political parties, candidates, or campaigns or to require full disclosure of such contributions (Rec 4.11).

Retired senior officials work for the tobacco industry (Rec 4.4).

Current government officials and their relatives hold positions in the tobacco business including consultancy positions (Rec 4.5, 4.8 & 4.10).

The government has a procedure for disclosing records of interaction with the tobacco industry and its representatives (Rec 5.1).

The government has formulated, adopted or implemented a code of conduct for public officials, prescribing the standards they should comply when dealing with the tobacco industry (Rec 4.2).

The government requires the tobacco industry to periodically submit information on tobacco production, manufacture, market share, marketing expenditures, revenues and any other activity, including lobbying, philanthropy, and political contributions (Rec 5.2).

The government has a program/system/plan to consistently raise awareness within its departments on policies relating to FCTC Article 5.3 Guidelines (Rec 1.1, 1.2).

The government has a policy prohibiting the acceptance of all forms of contributions from the tobacco industry (monetary or otherwise) including offers of assistance, policy drafts, or study visit invitations to the government, officials and their relatives (Rec 3.4).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CMR</th>
<th>CAN</th>
<th>TCD</th>
<th>CHL</th>
<th>CHN</th>
<th>COL</th>
<th>CRI</th>
<th>CZE</th>
<th>DOM</th>
<th>ECU</th>
<th>EGY</th>
<th>SLV</th>
<th>ETH</th>
<th>FJI</th>
<th>FRA</th>
<th>GAB</th>
<th>GEO</th>
<th>DEU</th>
<th>GHA</th>
<th>GTM</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>22</td>
<td>19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>81</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>100</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>37</td>
<td>65</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>58</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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### Participation in Policy Development

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HND</th>
<th>IND</th>
<th>IDN</th>
<th>IRN</th>
<th>ISR</th>
<th>ITA</th>
<th>JAM</th>
<th>JPN</th>
<th>JOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The government accepts, supports or endorses offer for assistance by or in collaboration with the tobacco industry in implementing tobacco control policies (Rec 3.1)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The government accepts, supports or endorses legislation drafted by/in collaboration with the tobacco industry (Rec 3.4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The government allows the tobacco industry to sit in multi-sectoral committee/advisory group that sets public health policy (Rec 4.8)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The government allows representatives from the tobacco industry (including State-owned) in the delegation to the COP or subsidiary bodies or accepts their sponsorship for delegates. (Rec 4.9 &amp; 8.3)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Tobacco-Related CSR Activities

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HND</th>
<th>IND</th>
<th>IDN</th>
<th>IRN</th>
<th>ISR</th>
<th>ITA</th>
<th>JAM</th>
<th>JPN</th>
<th>JOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The government receives contributions from the tobacco industry (including so-called CSR contributions) (Rec 6.4) Government agencies/officials endorses, form partnerships with/participates in tobacco industry CSR activities (Rec 6.2)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Benefits to the Tobacco Industry

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HND</th>
<th>IND</th>
<th>IDN</th>
<th>IRN</th>
<th>ISR</th>
<th>ITA</th>
<th>JAM</th>
<th>JPN</th>
<th>JOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The government accommodates requests from the industry for longer implementation time or postponement of tobacco control laws (Rec 7.1)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The government gives privileges, incentives, exemptions or benefits to the tobacco industry (Rec 7.3)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

### Forms of Unnecessary Interaction

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>HND</th>
<th>IND</th>
<th>IDN</th>
<th>IRN</th>
<th>ISR</th>
<th>ITA</th>
<th>JAM</th>
<th>JPN</th>
<th>JOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Top-level government officials meet with/foster relations with the tobacco companies such as attending social functions and events sponsored or organized by the tobacco companies (Rec 2.1)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The government accepts assistance/offers of assistance from the tobacco industry on enforcement (Rec 3.1 &amp; 4.3)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The government accepts, supports, endorses, or enters into partnerships or agreements with the tobacco industry (Rec 3.1)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Country</td>
<td>KAZ</td>
<td>KOR</td>
<td>KWT</td>
<td>LAO</td>
<td>MNE</td>
<td>MNG</td>
<td>MOZ</td>
<td>MMR</td>
<td>MYS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>11</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>IND</th>
<th>IDN</th>
<th>IRN</th>
<th>IRQ</th>
<th>ISR</th>
<th>ITA</th>
<th>JAM</th>
<th>JPN</th>
<th>JOR</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>TRANSPARENCY</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The government does not publicly disclose meetings/interactions with the tobacco industry where such interactions are strictly necessary for regulation (Rec 2.2)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The government requires rules for the disclosure or registration of tobacco industry entities, affiliate organizations, and individuals acting on their behalf including lobbyists</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>CONFLICT OF INTEREST</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The government does not have a policy (whether or not written) to prohibit contributions from the tobacco industry or any entity working to further its interests to political parties, candidates, or campaigns or to require full disclosure of such contributions (Rec 4.11)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired senior officials work for the tobacco industry (Rec 4.4)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current government officials and their relatives hold positions in the tobacco business including consultancy positions (Rec 4.5, 4.8 &amp; 4.10)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>PREVENTIVE MEASURES</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The government has a procedure for disclosing records of interaction with the tobacco industry and its representatives (Rec 5.1)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The government has formulated, adopted or implemented a code of conduct for public officials, prescribing the standards they should comply when dealing with the tobacco industry (Rec 4.2)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The government requires the tobacco industry to periodically submit information on tobacco production, manufacture, market share, marketing expenditures, revenues and any other activity, including lobbying, philanthropy, and political contributions (Rec 5.2)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The government has a program/system/plan to consistently raise awareness within its departments on policies relating to FCTC Article 5.3 Guidelines (Rec 1.1, 1.2)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The government has a policy prohibiting the acceptance of all forms of contributions from the tobacco industry (monetary or otherwise) including offers of assistance, policy drafts, or study visit invitations to the government, officials and their relatives (Rec 3.4)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>TOTAL</strong></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>58</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>88</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The government does not publicly disclose meetings/interactions with the tobacco industry where such interactions are strictly necessary for regulation (Rec 2.2).

The government requires rules for the disclosure or registration of tobacco industry entities, affiliate organizations, and individuals acting on their behalf including lobbyists.

The government does not have a policy (whether or not written) to prohibit contributions from the tobacco industry or any entity working to further its interests to political parties, candidates, or campaigns or to require full disclosure of such contributions (Rec 4.11).

Retired senior officials work for the tobacco industry (Rec 4.4).

Current government officials and their relatives hold positions in the tobacco business including consultancy positions (Rec 4.5, 4.8 & 4.10).

The government has a procedure for disclosing records of interaction with the tobacco industry and its representatives (Rec 5.1).

The government has formulated, adopted or implemented a code of conduct for public officials, prescribing the standards they should comply when dealing with the tobacco industry (Rec 4.2).

The government requires the tobacco industry to periodically submit information on tobacco production, manufacture, market share, marketing expenditures, revenues and any other activity, including lobbying, philanthropy, and political contributions (Rec 5.2).

The government has a program/system/plan to consistently raise awareness within its departments on policies relating to FCTC Article 5.3 Guidelines (Rec 1.1, 1.2).

The government has a policy prohibiting the acceptance of all forms of contributions from the tobacco industry (monetary or otherwise) including offers of assistance, policy drafts, or study visit invitations to the government, officials and their relatives (Rec 3.4).
### Summary table

**Continued**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Participation in Policy Development</th>
<th>OMI</th>
<th>PAK</th>
<th>PLW</th>
<th>PAN</th>
<th>PRY</th>
<th>PER</th>
<th>PHL</th>
<th>POL</th>
<th>ROU</th>
<th>SEN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The government accepts, supports or endorses offer for assistance by or in collaboration with the tobacco industry in implementing tobacco control policies (Rec 3.1)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The government accepts, supports or endorses legislation drafted by/in collaboration with the tobacco industry (Rec 3.4)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The government allows the tobacco industry to sit in multi-sectoral committee/advisory group that sets public health policy (Rec 4.8)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The government allows representatives from the tobacco industry (including State-owned) in the delegation to the COP or subsidiary bodies or accepts their sponsorship for delegates. (Rec 4.9 &amp; 8.3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Tobacco-related CSR Activities</th>
<th>OMI</th>
<th>PAK</th>
<th>PLW</th>
<th>PAN</th>
<th>PRY</th>
<th>PER</th>
<th>PHL</th>
<th>POL</th>
<th>ROU</th>
<th>SEN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The government receives contributions from the tobacco industry (including so-called CSR contributions) (Rec 6.4) Government agencies/officials endorses, form partnerships with/participates in tobacco industry CSR activities (Rec 6.2)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Benefits to the Tobacco Industry</th>
<th>OMI</th>
<th>PAK</th>
<th>PLW</th>
<th>PAN</th>
<th>PRY</th>
<th>PER</th>
<th>PHL</th>
<th>POL</th>
<th>ROU</th>
<th>SEN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The government accommodates requests from the industry for longer implementation time or postponement of tobacco control laws (Rec 7.1)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The government gives privileges, incentives, exemptions or benefits to the tobacco industry (Rec 7.3)</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Forms of Unnecessary Interaction</th>
<th>OMI</th>
<th>PAK</th>
<th>PLW</th>
<th>PAN</th>
<th>PRY</th>
<th>PER</th>
<th>PHL</th>
<th>POL</th>
<th>ROU</th>
<th>SEN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Top-level government officials meet with/foster relations with the tobacco companies such as attending social functions and events sponsored or organized by the tobacco companies (Rec 2.1)</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The government accepts assistance/offers of assistance from the tobacco industry on enforcement (Rec 3.1 &amp; 4.3)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The government accepts, supports, endorses, or enters into partnerships or agreements with the tobacco industry (Rec 3.1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SLB</td>
<td>ZAF</td>
<td>ESP</td>
<td>LKA</td>
<td>SDN</td>
<td>SWE</td>
<td>CHE</td>
<td>TZA</td>
<td>THA</td>
<td>TUR</td>
<td>UGA</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
<td>-----</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Summary table

#### TRANSPARENCY

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OMN</th>
<th>PAK</th>
<th>PLW</th>
<th>PAN</th>
<th>PRY</th>
<th>PER</th>
<th>PHL</th>
<th>POL</th>
<th>ROU</th>
<th>SEN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The government does not publicly disclose meetings/interactions with the tobacco industry where such interactions are strictly necessary for regulation (Rec 2.2)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The government requires rules for the disclosure or registration of tobacco industry entities, affiliate organizations, and individuals acting on their behalf including lobbyists</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### CONFLICT OF INTEREST

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OMN</th>
<th>PAK</th>
<th>PLW</th>
<th>PAN</th>
<th>PRY</th>
<th>PER</th>
<th>PHL</th>
<th>POL</th>
<th>ROU</th>
<th>SEN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The government does not have a policy (whether or not written) to prohibit contributions from the tobacco industry or any entity working to further its interests to political parties, candidates, or campaigns or to require full disclosure of such contributions (Rec 4.11)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Retired senior officials work for the tobacco industry (Rec 4.4)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Current government officials and their relatives hold positions in the tobacco business including consultancy positions (Rec 4.5, 4.8 &amp; 4.10)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### PREVENTIVE MEASURES

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OMN</th>
<th>PAK</th>
<th>PLW</th>
<th>PAN</th>
<th>PRY</th>
<th>PER</th>
<th>PHL</th>
<th>POL</th>
<th>ROU</th>
<th>SEN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>The government has a procedure for disclosing records of interaction with the tobacco industry and its representatives (Rec 5.1)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The government has formulated, adopted or implemented a code of conduct for public officials, prescribing the standards they should comply when dealing with the tobacco industry (Rec 4.2)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>3</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The government requires the tobacco industry to periodically submit information on tobacco production, manufacture, market share, marketing expenditures, revenues and any other activity, including lobbying, philanthropy, and political contributions (Rec 5.2)</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The government has a program/system/plan to consistently raise awareness within its departments on policies relating to FCTC Article 5.3 Guidelines (Rec 1.1, 1.2)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>The government has a policy prohibiting the acceptance of all forms of contributions from the tobacco industry (monetary or otherwise) including offers of assistance, policy drafts, or study visit invitations to the government, officials and their relatives (Rec 3.4)</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>2</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

#### TOTAL

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>OMN</th>
<th>PAK</th>
<th>PLW</th>
<th>PAN</th>
<th>PRY</th>
<th>PER</th>
<th>PHL</th>
<th>POL</th>
<th>ROU</th>
<th>SEN</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>43</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>60</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>55</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
The government does not publicly disclose meetings/interactions with the tobacco industry where such interactions are strictly necessary for regulation (Rec 2.2)

The government requires rules for the disclosure or registration of tobacco industry entities, affiliate organizations, and individuals acting on their behalf including lobbyists

CONFLICT OF INTEREST

The government does not have a policy (whether or not written) to prohibit contributions from the tobacco industry or any entity working to further its interests to political parties, candidates, or campaigns or to require full disclosure of such contributions (Rec 4.11)

Retired senior officials work for the tobacco industry (Rec 4.4)

Current government officials and their relatives hold positions in the tobacco business including consultancy positions (Rec 4.5, 4.8 & 4.10)

PREVENTIVE MEASURES

The government has a procedure for disclosing records of interaction with the tobacco industry and its representatives (Rec 5.1)

The government has formulated, adopted or implemented a code of conduct for public officials, prescribing the standards they should comply when dealing with the tobacco industry (Rec 4.2)

The government requires the tobacco industry to periodically submit information on tobacco production, manufacture, market share, marketing expenditures, revenues and any other activity, including lobbying, philanthropy, and political contributions (Rec 5.2)

The government has a program/system/plan to consistently raise awareness within its departments on policies relating to FCTC Article 5.3 Guidelines (Rec 1.1, 1.2)

The government has a policy prohibiting the acceptance of all forms of contributions from the tobacco industry (monetary or otherwise) including offers of assistance, policy drafts, or study visit invitations to the government, officials and their relatives (Rec 3.4)
### Appendix 1: Sample of tobacco industry-sponsored environmental cleanup campaigns

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Tobacco Company</th>
<th>Sponsorship Details</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>JTI</td>
<td>In 2022, the city of Florianópolis (Santa Catarina) partnered with JTI and Poia to install cigarette butt collectors in 10 beaches and neighborhoods of the city.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bulgaria</td>
<td>The Bulgarian Association of Tobacco Industry (BATI)</td>
<td>The Ministry of Environment and Water (MoEW) collaborated on a BATI campaign on the prevention of cigarette butt and tobacco product pollution under the motto “So what?” and “Change the picture” is PMI’s campaign to reduce cigarette butt litter. The campaign involves cleanups of beaches and public spaces with the help of volunteers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>PMI</td>
<td>In 2022, the Special Administrative Unit of Public Services of Bogotá, launched a campaign in partnership with PMI to collect cigarette butts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
<td>PMI</td>
<td>In 2022, the project &quot;The Cigarette Butt Campaign&quot; (&quot;Dale rumbo a tus colillas&quot;) was implemented by the NGO Five Minute Foundation, sponsored by PMI, the University of Costa Rica and local governments of Belén (Heredia) and Montes de Oca (San José).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Germany</td>
<td>Philip Morris Deutschland</td>
<td>On June 11, 2021, PMI sponsored #cleanffm in Frankfurt to clean up trash including cigarette butts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Guatemala</td>
<td>PMI</td>
<td>In May 2021, PMI launched a project encouraging customers to bring their used HEETS (heated tobacco sticks) to be recycled through a company named ECOTERMO.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>PMI</td>
<td>An awareness campaign on the correct disposal of cigarettes butts, #CAMBIAGESTO, was endorsed by the Ministry of Ecological Transition. Over 270,000 pocket cigarette butt holders were distributed to smokers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kazakhstan</td>
<td>PMI</td>
<td>100 employees took part in a cleanup in a national park and picked up 1,500 cigarette butts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Malaysia</td>
<td>Philip Morris Malaysia</td>
<td>PMM installed 200 cigarette butt canisters (through Puntong Enterprise) across the country targeting tourist locations near beaches as well as some urban areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mexico</td>
<td>Philip Morris Mexico</td>
<td>PMM and Ecofilter jointly deployed a nationwide campaign where 1) volunteers (ambassadors) were mobilized to collect cigarette butts, 2) numerous cigarette bins were set up in highly populated public spaces, and 3) a country-wide awareness campaign called “Para bien o para mal” (“For better or worse”) and piloting recycling.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TOBACCO COMPANY</td>
<td>SPONSORSHIP</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>Philip Morris Fortune Tobacco Corp</td>
<td>4 cities (Western Bicutan, Lapasan Coastal, Baguio City and Cebu) collected 57,000 cigarette butts for World Clean Up Day.(^{321,322})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>KT&amp;G</td>
<td>The local government of Suwon City and KT&amp;G formed a partnership in the “Sseumdo Sseumdo (cigarette butts in the trash) environmental campaign.” KT&amp;G was allowed to set up 33 cigarette butt bins in 23 public facilities.(^{321})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>South Africa</td>
<td>PMI</td>
<td>300 cigarette butt bins placed around the CBD of Cape Town from which around 300kg of cigarette butts are removed each month.(^{324})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>The Tobacco Bureau (Mesa del Tabaco) sponsored by PMI, BAT, JTI, Altadis and others(^{325})</td>
<td>The municipal government of Santiago de Compostela collaborated to distribute 4,500 portable ashtrays to cleanup cigarette butts.(^{326}) The group also sponsored a beach cleanup of Tarifa and distributed 5,000 portable reusable ashtrays and 5,000 paper bags for waste.(^{327})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Philip Morris Sweden</td>
<td>The company sponsored Swedish organization “Håll Sverige Rent” (Keep Sweden Clean), a greenwashing campaign. Members of the parliament have been attending and supporting greenwashing campaigns(^{328}) which include programs with children to clean up cigarette butts.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>JTI</td>
<td>In 2022, the Federal Office for the Environment (FOEN) endorsed an environmental cleanup sponsored by Swiss Cigarette, JTI and others.(^{329})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>PMI Switzerland</td>
<td>The City of Lausanne, in partnership with PMI Switzerland, picked up 30,000 cigarette butts.(^{330}) PMI reported Swiss cities of Geneva and Châtel-St-Denis where Cortexia, a Swiss company collaborating with PMI, recruited cities for its campaign. PMI’s website, includes “testimonies” by officials from Basel, Geneva and Zürich.(^{331,332})</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uruguay</td>
<td>PMI</td>
<td>The “No Más Colillas”(^{333}) (No More Butts) NGO carried out a cleanup campaign funded by PMI(^{334}) although CSR activities are banned.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^*\)In 2018, PMI did not show an environment category for its CSR spending. In 2022, it spent 3% of its contributions on the environment.
## Appendix 2: Partnerships between governments/public institutions and the TI

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Argentina</td>
<td>The Minister of Education, Culture, Science and Technology signed an agreement with Alliance One, Minera Mansfield and the Provincial University of Public Administration to conduct professional training courses.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>In March 2022, the Ministry of Industries (Bangladesh Sugar and Food Industries Corporation) made a partnership with BATB to develop improved varieties of sugarcane to meet the growing demand for sugar.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bosnia and Herzegovina</td>
<td>In December 2021, the mayors of Sarajevo and the Old City Municipality reached an agreement with PMI for the “UNSMOKE SARAYEVO” campaign.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cambodia</td>
<td>On November 10, 2021, the Anti-Corruption Unit (ACU) signed an MOU to cooperate with Huotraco International Ltd (a distributor of Imperial Brands).</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>The National Federation of Departments (FND) entered into an agreement with PMI which includes training and assisting the authorities with anti-smuggling operations.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>In May 2022, the Indian Institute of Technology (IIT) Delhi signed an MOU with ITC Ltd to support research in identified Science, Technology, Engineering and Mathematics (STEM) areas.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>In 2021, the Ministry of Investment (BKPM) collaborated with PT HM Sampoerna to promote an online single submission (OSS) program for small and medium-sized businesses. This collaboration was supported by the Minister of Cooperatives and SMEs and the Minister of State-Owned Enterprises.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Italy</td>
<td>BAT Italia signed a three-year MOU with the Ministry of Agriculture, Food Sovereignty and Forestry to purchase 15,000 tons of Italian tobacco, an investment of up to €60 million.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>KT&amp;G signed an MOU with the National Institute of Ecology to protect the ecology of the country, and committed to replacing their company cars with eco-friendly models by 2030. The Minister of Environment was present at the company’s ceremony to commemorate the occasion.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lao PDR</td>
<td>The Investment License Agreement between Imperial Group and the Lao government (2001 – 2025) gives preferential treatment to the industry.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Madagascar</td>
<td>In January 2021, the Malagasy authorities signed a CSR agreement with Imperial Brands to protect the environment, the Madagaskarantsika project.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nigeria</td>
<td>The Nigeria Meteorological Agency (NiMET) signed an MOU with BATNF to provide weather information to farmers.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Paraguay</td>
<td>In April 2021, the Ministry of the Environment and Sustainable Development (MADES) entered into an agreement with TABESA where the company is recognized and certified for environmental services within the framework of Law 3001/06 - Assessment and compensation for environmental services.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Poland
On March 2, 2023, General Police Headquarters (Director, Office for Combating Economic Crime of the National Police) worked in cooperation with BAT and JTI to combat illegal production and trade in tobacco products.\(^{348}\)

### Philippines
The Kapatid Angat Lahat (KALAP) is a “public-private partnership that promotes inclusive economic growth [for farmers]”\(^{349}\) by “integrating them into the value chain of large corporations”\(^{350}\) in partnership with Universal Leaf Philippines Inc.

### Romania
In July 2021, BAT provided scanning equipment to the General Directorate of Customs in partnership with the Romanian Police, Border Police, National Fiscal Administration Agency and General Directorate of Customs.\(^{351}\)

### South Africa
The National Prosecuting Authority (NPA) signed an MOU with Business Leadership South Africa (BLSA) which includes members BATSA, PMI and Remgro Ltd.\(^{352}\) to use technical skills to help NPA build its cases and prosecute.\(^{353}\)

### Appendix 3: Diplomatic missions involved in promoting tobacco

**China**
In July 2021, requests from SindiTabaco in Brazil were delivered to the Chinese embassy through a state secretary.\(^{354}\)

**Germany**
On May 18, 2022, the German ambassador to Beirut, Andreas Kindl, visited the Regie.\(^{355}\)

**Honduras**
On April 28, 2022, the Embassy of Honduras in Berlin was patron of a meeting of the Federal Association of the Cigar Industry. Attendees included politicians and seven ambassadors.\(^{356}\)

**Italy**
On March 25, 2023, Italian Ambassador to Tanzania, Marco Lombardi, and Ambassador Col (Rtd) Fred Mwesigye launched a cigar lounge in Dar es Salaam.\(^{357}\)

**Japan**
On May 21, 2021, the Japanese Embassy in Ethiopia and JTI entered into an MOU for a framework of cooperation to provide scholarships to Ethiopian students in Japan.\(^{358}\)

On February 25, 2022, the Ambassador of Japan to Bangladesh was a special guest at a JTI Bangladesh sponsored event to unveil a photo exhibition on the occasion of 50 years of Japan-Bangladesh friendship.\(^{359}\)

On September 9, 2022, Ambassador to Tanzania, Yosushi Misawa, attended the ceremony to lay the foundation stone to a JTI-funded secondary school in the Tabora Region of Tanzania.\(^{360}\)

On September 23, 2022, Japanese Ambassador to Cambodia, Mikami Masahiro, attended the inauguration ceremony of JTI Cambodia’s new headquarters.\(^{361}\)

**U.K.**
In December 2022, the British Deputy High Commissioner in Nigeria, Ben Llewellyn-Jones, attended the Nigeria-Britain Association’s gala in Lagos. Llewellyn-Jones spoke at the event which “was organised in partnership” with several private sector actors including BAT.\(^{362}\)

In February 2023, a representative of the British Embassy in Lebanon attended the inauguration of a new production line of the Regie, the Lebanese state-owned tobacco monopoly. The ceremony was also attended by representatives of international tobacco companies.\(^{363}\)
## Appendix 4: Unnecessary awards to tobacco companies

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COUNTRY</th>
<th>OFFICIAL/ DEPARTMENT</th>
<th>AWARD</th>
<th>COMPANY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>Finance Minister/ National Board of Revenue</td>
<td>December 28, 2022: “Highest Income Tax Payer Award” for fiscal year 2021-22&lt;sup&gt;364&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>BAT Bangladesh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>The Maya Bidi Factory was awarded for paying VAT.&lt;sup&gt;365&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Maya Bidi Factory</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Honduras</td>
<td>Minister of Tourism</td>
<td>Copan awards: First-class tobacco producing country&lt;sup&gt;366&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Flor de Copán Honduras</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>Ministry of Environment and Forestry</td>
<td>Green Industry Performance Rating Program (PROPER) award for environmental sustainability&lt;sup&gt;367&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>PT HM Sampoerna (PMI)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Iran</td>
<td>Deputy Minister of Silence</td>
<td>May 2022: Social Responsibility and Economic Sustainability&lt;sup&gt;368&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Iran Tobacco Co</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Ministry of Economy, Trade and Industry</td>
<td>White 500: Outstanding organization for “health-conscious management”&lt;sup&gt;369&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Japan Tobacco Inc.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Korea</td>
<td>Prime Minister/ Korea Chamber of Commerce &amp; Industry</td>
<td>Prime Minister Commendation: Sustainable management&lt;sup&gt;370&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>KT&amp;G</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lao PDR</td>
<td>Prime Minister</td>
<td>Outstanding leading business&lt;sup&gt;371&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Lao-China Hongta</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Lebanon</td>
<td>Army Commander</td>
<td>Honorary shield&lt;sup&gt;372&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Libanaisse Des Tabacs Et Tombacs (Regie)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nepal</td>
<td>Minister of Finance</td>
<td>Largest taxpayer&lt;sup&gt;373&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Surya Nepal</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Philippines</td>
<td>Government of Davao City</td>
<td>March 2023: One of the top ten taxpayers of the city&lt;sup&gt;374&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Philip Morris Fortune Tobacco Corp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Spain</td>
<td>City council of Badajoz, Extremadura</td>
<td>November 30, 2022: “Best entrepreneur”&lt;sup&gt;375&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Philip Morris Spain</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sweden</td>
<td>Minister for Rural Affairs</td>
<td>Skruf received the “Food Exporter of the Year” Award for its successful export in the Norwegian market&lt;sup&gt;376&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>Skruf, the second largest snus manufacturer in the Nordics</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Türkiye</td>
<td>President</td>
<td>Türkiye Exporters Assembly: The “Industry Winner” category in 2022; awards presented by the President.&lt;sup&gt;377&lt;/sup&gt;</td>
<td>JTI</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COUNTRY</td>
<td>OFFICIAL/ DEPARTMENT</td>
<td>AWARD</td>
<td>COMPANY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vietnam</td>
<td>Chairman, Ho Chi Minh City People’s Committee</td>
<td>2021: Received a certificate of merit for high achievement in the payment to the State budget in Ho Chi Minh City.</td>
<td>Saigon Tobacco Company</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Vietnam Federation of Trade &amp; Industry and Ministry of Labour, Invalids and Social Affairs</td>
<td>2022: The “Top 100 Sustainable Enterprises in Vietnam”</td>
<td>BAT Vietnam</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zambia</td>
<td>Minister of Green Economy and Environment</td>
<td>February 20, 2023: “CSR Excellence in Education Infrastructure Support” award</td>
<td>JTI Zambia</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

**Appendix 5: Revolving door between government officials and industry executives**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>COUNTRY</th>
<th>GOVERNMENT</th>
<th>INDUSTRY</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Bangladesh</td>
<td>Since November 1, 2022, senior secretary, Prime Minister’s Office and Senior Secretary of the Ministry of Public Administration</td>
<td>BAT Bangladesh Non-Executive Directors of BATB since June 21, 2020.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Brazil</td>
<td>Former director of Anvisa (health regulatory agency)</td>
<td>Consultant to BAT Brasil.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Former Senator, Paraiba Governor</td>
<td>Lobbyist for Philip Morris Brazil.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Colombia</td>
<td>Luis Felipe Torres, former Director of Regulation at the Minister of Commerce</td>
<td>Head of External Affairs for Colombia and Perú at PMI.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Costa Rica</td>
<td>Former deputy leader of the Social Christian Unity Party who openly opposed the Law on ENDS and HTPs</td>
<td>PMI Central America and the Caribbean</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gabon</td>
<td>Former Minister; currently Political Advisor to the Head of State and High Commissioner of the Republic</td>
<td>Chairman of the board of CECA-GADIS</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>Mr. Samuel Dentu, current Deputy CEO of the Ghana Export Promotion Authority</td>
<td>Former Head of Finance, BAT in Ghana; BAT MD for Ivory Coast, Mali, Burkina Faso, Gambia, Liberia and Sierra Leone.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>India</td>
<td>Subramanian Lakshminarayanan, retired IAS officer; held senior positions in Ministry of Home Affairs; Communications and Information Technology; Information Broadcasting</td>
<td>Independent director on the board of Godfrey Philips.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>COUNTRY</td>
<td>GOVERNMENT</td>
<td>INDUSTRY</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-----------</td>
<td>----------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------------------------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Indonesia</td>
<td>Benny Wahyudi, Former Director General of Agro Industry, Ministry of Industry</td>
<td>Chairman of Gaprindo (Association of White Cigarette Manufacturers)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Japan</td>
<td>Masato Kitera, Deputy Vice-Minister, Ministry of Foreign Affairs, Ambassador to the French Republic, Principality of Andorra and Principality of Monaco</td>
<td>Masato Kitera, Director (Independent External Director) of Japan Tobacco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Panama</td>
<td>Rodolfo Samuda, Technical Deputy Director General of the National Customs Authority</td>
<td>Consultant for PMI and BAT; attends TI events as an expert speaker</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Poland</td>
<td>Adam Siekierski, First Fiscal Counsellor for VAT and Excise Duty in Permanent Representation of Poland to the EU</td>
<td>Fiscal Affairs &amp; Illicit Trade Prevention Manager, Philip Morris Distribution Polska Sp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Switzerland</td>
<td>Gregor Rutz, a member of the National Council of Switzerland</td>
<td>Salaried president of Swiss Tobacco</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td>Baraka Jonathan Katemba, Finance and Administration Manager of Copyright Society since October 2020</td>
<td>Board of Directors of TCC from April 23, 2018, to April 22, 2021</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Türkiye</td>
<td>Mr. Riza Tuna Turagay, Vice Minister of Commerce since January 2019</td>
<td>Former board member of BAT Turkey till January 2019</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Uruguay</td>
<td>Eduardo Mezzera, former Deputy Minister of Foreign Affairs</td>
<td>Legal advisor of Montepaz, main tobacco company in Uruguay</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Appendix 6: State-owned tobacco enterprises

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Country</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>China</strong></td>
<td>The State Tobacco Monopoly Administration (STMA) and the China National Tobacco Corporation (CNTC) control 96% of the market. They share responsibility for central management. The STMA is a member of the Leading Group for Inter-Ministerial Coordination for the Implementation of the WHO FCTC.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Egypt</strong></td>
<td>The Ministry of Investment owns 49.4% of Eastern Company S.A.E. In 2021, it controlled 70% of the market.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Iran</strong></td>
<td>After the privatization of Iranian Tobacco Company (ITC), half of its shares were acquired by the pension fund of the Ministry of Cooperatives, Labour and Social Welfare. The board of directors of ITC is appointed by the ministry. They have 5% of the tobacco market.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Iraq</strong></td>
<td>Baghdad Tobacco and Cigarette Factory is the only state-owned factory in Iraq that produces local tobacco and cigarettes. While government-owned, this factory is operated by the private sector and shares a portion of its revenue with the government.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Japan</strong></td>
<td>The Japan Tobacco Inc. Act obligates the Japanese government to hold shares in Japan Tobacco Inc. The Ministry of Finance is a major shareholder owning 33.35% shares of Japan Tobacco Inc. JTI has 42% of the market.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lao PDR</strong></td>
<td>The Lao PDR government set up Lao Tobacco Ltd (LTL) in a joint venture with Imperial Tobacco Group (53%), and LTL controls about 80% of the tobacco market.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lebanon</strong></td>
<td>The Libanaise Des Tabacs Et Tombacs (Regie) is a state-owned enterprise. It is the sole entity in Lebanon legally allowed to manufacture, distribute and sell tobacco and tombac (tobacco for waterpipe) and comes under the jurisdiction of the Ministry of Finance. Regie controls 63% of the market.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Madagascar</strong></td>
<td>The Malagasy State is a partner-shareholder in the four subsidiaries of Imperial Brands Madagascar through the companies SONAPAR, FIARO, ARO and OFMATA.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Thailand</strong></td>
<td>The Tobacco Authority of Thailand (TOAT), formerly called the Thai Tobacco Monopoly (TTM), is a state-owned enterprise registered as a government agency under the supervision of the Ministry of Finance in Thailand. TOAT controls 64% of the market.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Vietnam</strong></td>
<td>Vietnam’s tobacco industry consists of 30 companies consolidated under six corporations. These corporations are invested in diverse industries, but tobacco production and trading are their major businesses and are either “government or public owned, belonging to the central or a local government, a ministry, or the Communist Party.” Vietnam National Tobacco Corporation (Vinataba) is the biggest of the six corporations, controlling 59% of the cigarette market share.</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
MAIN COUNTRY COLLABORATORS

1. Argentina: Fundación Interamericana del Corazón Argentina
2. Bangladesh: PROGGA Knowledge for Progress
3. Bolivia: Fundación InterAmericana del Corazón
4. Bosnia and Herzegovina: PROI Progressive Reinforcement of Organizations and Individuals
5. Botswana: Anti-Tobacco Network
6. Brazil: ACT Health Promotion
7. Brunei Darussalam: Ministry of Health
8. Bulgaria: Smoke-Free Life Coalition
10. Cambodia: Cambodia Movement for Health
11. Cameroon: Tobacco Coalition of Cameroon
12. Canada: Action on Smoking & Health (ASH Canada)
13. Chad: Association pour la Défense des Droits des Consommateurs (ADC)
14. Chile: Alianza ENT Chile
15. China: Consultant
16. Colombia: Red Papaz
18. Cote d’Ivoire: Comité/Club Unesco Universitaire pour la lutte contre la drogue et autres pandémies
19. Czech Republic: First Faculty of Medicine, Charles University, Prague
20. Dominican Republic: Alianza Dominicana Antitabaquismo
21. Ecuador: Corporate Accountability
22. Egypt: Cairo Association Against Smoking, Tuberculosis and Lung Diseases
23. El Salvador: Center for Consumer Advocacy
24. Ethiopia: Health Development & Anti-Malaria Association
25. Fiji: Fiji Cancer Society
26. France: National Committee Against Smoking
27. Gabon: Mouvement Populaire pour la Santé au Gabon
28. Georgia: Tobacco Control Alliance, Georgia
29. Germany: Laura Graen, German Cancer Research Center
30. Ghana: Vision for Alternative Development
31. Guatemala: Departamento de Investigacion, Unidad de Cirugia Cardiovascular
32. Honduras: Centro de Promoción en Salud y Asistencia Familiar
33. India: Partners In Change
34. Indonesia: Widyastuti Soerojo, Mouhamad Bigwanto, Indonesia Health Policy Forum
35. Iran (Islamic Republic): Iranian Anti-Tobacco Association
36. Iraq: Alrafidain Center for Health Development, Baghdad
37. Israel: Smoke Free Israel
38. Italy: Laboratory of Lifestyle Epidemiology, Mario Negri
39. Jamaica: Jamaica Coalition for Tob Control
40. Japan: Japan Society for Tobacco Control
41. Jordan: Ahmad Abbadi, Consultant
42. Kazakhstan: For a Smoke-Free Kazakhstan
43. Kenya: Consumer Information Network
44. Korea (Republic of): Korea Center for Tobacco Control Research & Education
45. Kuwait: Hala AlAmoudi, Consultant
46. Lao PDR: Maninh Phunnhonghsy, Consultant
47. Lebanon: Hala AlAmoudi, University of Bath, Rima Nakkash, George Mason University
48. Madagascar: NY SAHY
49. Malaysia: Women’s Action for Tobacco Control
50. Maldives: NCD Alliance
51. Mauritius: Marie France Chan Sun, Associate Professor, University of Mauritius
52. Mexico: Salud Justa México
53. Mongolia: Healthy City Association
54. Montenegro: Stevo Mirk, Consultant
55. Mozambique: Associação Moçambicana de Saúde Publica
56. Myanmar: People’s Health Foundation
57. Nepal: Health Rights & Tobacco Control Network
58. Netherlands: Trimbos Institute
59. New Zealand/Aotearoa: Cancer Society of New Zealand
60. Nicaragua: Fundación del Cáncer, Movicancer
61. Nigeria: Corporate Accountability and Public Participation for Africa (CAPPA)
62. Norway: Norwegian Cancer Society
63. Oman: Ruth Mabry, Consultant
64. Pakistan: Society for Alternative Media and Research
65. Palau: Coalition for a Tobacco Free Palau
66. Panama: Facultad de Economía de la Universidad de Panamá
67. Paraguay: Enfoque Territorial
68. Peru: National Anti-Smoking Control Commission (COTAL)
69. Philippines: HealthJustice Philippines
70. Poland: Department of Public Health and Social Medicine, Medical University of Gdansk
71. Romania: AER Pur Romania
72. Senegal: Ligue Sénégalaise Contre Le Tabac
73. Solomon Islands: Global Youth Leadership Nexus
74. South Africa: Dhamaravelli Moodley, Consultant, and National Council for Anti Smoking
75. Spain: Catalan Institute of Oncology
76. Sri Lanka: Alcohol & Drug Information Centre
77. Sweden: A Non Smoking Generation
78. Switzerland: Swiss Association for Tobacco Control
79. Sudan: Iklass Nimir, Consultant
80. Tanzania: Tanzania Tobacco Control Forum
81. Thailand: Action on Smoking and Health Foundation
82. Türkiye: Turkish National Coalition on Tobacco or Health
83. Uganda: Hellen Neima, Consultant, and Health Consumers’ Organisation (UNHCO)
84. Ukraine: Advocacy Center LIFE
85. United Kingdom: Tobacco Control Research Group, University of Bath
86. United States of America: Action on Smoking & Health
87. Uruguay: Tobacco Epidemic Research Center of Uruguay (CIET)
88. Venezuela: Asociación Civil Tabaco o Salud
89. Vietnam: HealthBridge Vietnam
90. Zambia: Tobacco-Free Association of Zambia (TOFAZA)

Special acknowledgement to collaborators from Sudan and Ukraine who worked under extraordinarily difficult circumstances to produce their country reports.
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